

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01366 (Patent 6,108,704)
Case IPR2014-01367 (Patent 6,009,459)
Case IPR2014-01368 (Patent 6,131,121)¹

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE and BART A. GERSTENBLITH,
Administrative Patent Judges.

DESHPANDE, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

CONFERENCE SUMMARY
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

IPR2014-01366 (Patent 6,108,704)
IPR2014-01367 (Patent 6,009,459)
IPR2014-01368 (Patent 6,131,121)

On March 26, 2015, the initial conference call was held between counsel for the respective parties and Judges Deshpande and Gerstenblith.

Motions

Patent Owner indicated that it presently does not intend to file a motion to amend. As discussed, if Patent Owner determines that it will file a motion to amend, Patent Owner must arrange for a conference call, preferably two weeks prior to filing the motion to amend, with us and opposing counsel to discuss the proposed motion to amend. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a). Because the terms of these patents expire on September 25, 2015, if Patent Owner decides to file a motion to amend, an expedited schedule for the motion to amend will need to be determined and authorized. Additional guidance on motions to amend claims is provided in the Board's Office Patent Trial Practice Guide (*see Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,764, 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012)) and in relevant Board decisions, including *Nichia Corp. v. Emcore Corp.*, IPR2012-00005, Paper 27 (PTAB June 3, 2013), and *Idle Free Systems, Inc. v. Bergstrom, Inc.*, IPR2012-00027, Paper 26 (PTAB June 11, 2013).

Patent Owner requested, Petitioner did not oppose, and we authorize for Patent Owner to submit the briefing in *Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.*, No. 15-1212 (Fed. Cir.), in original form and without any additional argument.

We reminded the parties that, if they seek authorization to file a motion not

IPR2014-01366 (Patent 6,108,704)
IPR2014-01367 (Patent 6,009,459)
IPR2014-01368 (Patent 6,131,121)

contemplated per the Scheduling Order, the party requesting such authorization must arrange for a conference call with us and opposing counsel.

Schedule

Counsel for the respective parties indicated that they have no issues with the Scheduling Order entered March 6, 2015. *See, e.g.*, IPR2014-01366, Paper 13.

To the extent issues arise with DUE DATES 1–5 identified in the Scheduling Order, we remind the parties that, without obtaining prior authorization from us, they may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1–5, but no later than DUE DATE 6, as provided in the Scheduling Order, by filing an appropriate notice with the Board. The parties may not stipulate to any other changes to the Scheduling Order.

IPR2014-01366 (Patent 6,108,704)
IPR2014-01367 (Patent 6,009,459)
IPR2014-01368 (Patent 6,131,121)

PETITIONER:

Brian Erickson
Jeff Cole
Samsung-SP-IPR@dlapiper.com

PATENT OWNER:

William Meunier
WAMeunier@mintz.com

Matthew Durell
MDurell@mintz.com

¹ The Board exercises its discretion to issue one identical Decision in each case using this caption style. Unless otherwise authorized, the parties are not permitted to use this style.