

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., &  
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,  
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., and AVAYA, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-01366<sup>1</sup>  
U.S. Patent No. 6,009,704

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE  
TO PETITIONER'S ADDITIONAL BRIEFING**

---

<sup>1</sup> IPR2015-01011 has been joined with this proceeding.

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
I. The CAFC’s Construction Of “is connected” Is Controlling.....	1
II. WINS and NetBIOS Do Not Teach The “is connected” Limitations Under The CAFC’s Construction .....	2
A. WINS and NetBIOS do not track or rely on whether a computer is connected to the network.....	3
B. Whether a name has been released does not indicate whether any particular computer is connected to the computer network .....	4
C. The WINS and NetBIOS name servers return a requested IP address regardless of whether the target computer is online or whether the queried name has been released .....	5
III. Petitioner’s Brief Fails to Address the CAFC’s Decision, But Instead Raises New Arguments That Encourage the Board to Take a Position That Has Already Been Reversed by the Federal Circuit .....	5
IV. There Is No Estoppel Concerning The “Process” Limitations.....	7

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Phonometrics, Inc. v. Westin Hotel Co.</i> , 350 F.3d 1242 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	2
<i>Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.</i> , No. 15-1212 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 25, 2015) .....	1, 6, 7

**TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b><u>WORD PHRASE</u></b>	<b><u>ABBREVIATION</u></b>
Petitioner's Supplemental Brief	Br.
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	CAFC
Petitioner's Petition for <i>Inter Parties</i> Review	Pet.
Straight Path's Patent Owner Preliminary Response	PR
Straight Path's Patent Owner Response	R
Federal Circuit Opinion in <i>Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.</i> , No. 15-1212 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 25, 2015) ( <b>Exhibit 2024</b> )	<i>Sipnet</i>

When the CAFC rejected Sipnet’s and Petitioner’s claim construction arguments in the Sipnet Appeal, Petitioner effectively lost its IPR. In Petitioner’s Petition and Reply Brief, it provided no argument or evidence that WINS and NetBIOS disclosed the “is connected” limitations under Straight Path’s—and now the CAFC’s—construction. Instead, Petitioner chose to rely solely on its proposed “registered” construction, which the CAFC has now explicitly rejected: “[i]t is not a reasonable interpretation of the claim language, considering its plain meaning, to say that it is satisfied by a query that asks only for registration information, regardless of its current accuracy.” *Sipnet* at 7.

#### **I. The CAFC’s Construction Of “is connected” Is Controlling**

Representative challenged claim 1 is directed to a “computer program product” that (1) transmits to the server a “query as to whether the second process *is connected to the computer network,*” and (2) receives the second process’s network protocol address from the server “when the second process *is connected to the computer network.*” (R at 36; ’704 patent at claim 1; *see also* claims 11-12, 14, 16, 22-23, 27, 30-31).

In *Sipnet*, the CAFC construed this same “is connected to the computer network” limitation “and the counterpart claim phrases that the parties agree bear the same meaning . . . to mean ‘*is connected to the computer network at the time that the query is transmitted to the server.*’” *Sipnet* at 13 (emphasis added). The

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.