

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01343
Patent 8,519,973 B1

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and
KEVIN W. CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Termination of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.73

On July 29, 2015, the parties informed the Board that the parties had settled the proceeding and that the parties sought authorization to file a joint motion to terminate. On July 31, 2015, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate the

proceeding. Paper 21. The parties also filed a joint request to have their settlement agreement treated as confidential business information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Paper 22. In the joint motion, and in subsequent correspondence with the Board, the parties represent that the settlement agreement filed is a true copy and resolves all disputes between the parties.

This *inter partes* review was instituted on February 9, 2015. At this juncture of the proceeding, the Board does not have before it full briefing on the trial issues; the case has not been heard; and the Board has not entered a final decision. Based on the facts of this case, it is appropriate to enter judgment.¹ Therefore, the joint motion to terminate the proceeding is *granted*.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties' joint request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, to be kept separate from the patent file is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate the proceeding is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeding is *terminated*.

¹ A judgment means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

IPR2014-01302
Patent 8,059,015 B2

For Petitioner:

Jason Shapiro
Soumya P. Panda
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, PC
jshapiro@rfem.com
spanda@rfem.com

For Patent Owner:

Robert R. Laurenzi
Robert S. Magee
Kaye Scholer LLP
robert.laurenzi@kayescholer.com
robert.magee@kayescholer.com