

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., CIENA CORPORATION,  
CORIANT OPERATIONS, INC., CORIANT (USA) INC., AND  
FUJITSU NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases IPR2014-01166<sup>1</sup> and IPR2014-01276<sup>2</sup>  
Patents RE42,368 and RE42,678<sup>3</sup>

---

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and  
JAMES A. TARTAL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TARTAL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Request for Oral Argument  
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2015-00816 has been joined with this proceeding.

<sup>2</sup> Case IPR2015-00894 has been joined with this proceeding

<sup>3</sup> This order addresses issues that are the same in the identified cases. The parties are authorized to use this heading when filing a single paper in each proceeding, provided that such heading includes a footnote attesting that “the word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the heading.”

IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276  
Patent RE42,368 and RE42,678

The date set for oral hearing in these proceedings is November 5, 2015, if hearing is requested by either party and granted by the Board. IPR2014-01166, Paper 9; IPR2014-01276, Paper 9. Both parties request oral hearing. IPR2014-01166, Papers 27, 29; IPR2014-01276, Papers 21, 23. The requests are *granted*.

Each side will have sixty (60) minutes, total, to present its argument in both cases. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's claims at issue in these reviews are unpatentable and shall open the hearing. The parties may agree prior to the hearing to the order and manner in which arguments will be presented with respect to both cases. Absent such agreement, the hearing shall proceed as follows. Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial in IPR2014-01166. After Petitioner's presentation, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. Next, Petitioner will present its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial in IPR2014-01276. After Petitioner's presentation, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner.

The hearing will commence at 1:30 PM on November 5, 2015, on the ninth floor of the Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. If the parties have any

IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276  
Patent RE42,368 and RE42,678

concern about disclosing confidential information, they are requested to contact the Board at least 10 days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter.

The parties are reminded that, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed.

Furthermore, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least five business days before the hearing date and filed no later than the time of the oral argument. The parties also shall provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least five business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov).

The parties must file any objections to the demonstrative exhibits with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstrative exhibits are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until after the oral argument. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2015) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276  
Patent RE42,368 and RE42,678

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, any counsel of record may present the party's argument. If either party expects that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio-visual equipment should be directed to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

#### ORDER

Oral argument in IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276 will commence at 1:30 PM on November 5, 2015, on the ninth floor of the Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276  
Patent RE42,368 and RE42,678

PETITIONER:

Wayne O. Stacy  
Matthew J. Leary  
COOLEY LLP  
wstacey@cooley.com  
capellacisco@cooley.com

PATENT OWNER:

Robert Sterne  
Jon E. Wright  
Jason D. Eisenberg  
Nicholas J. Nowak  
Jonathan Tuminaro  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.  
rsterne-PTAB@skgf.com  
jwright-PTAB@skgf.com  
jasone-PTAB@skgf.com  
nnowak-PTAB@skgf.com  
jtuminar-PTAB@skgf.com