UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC. Petitioner

v.

DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, LLC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01252

.

Patent No. 5,930,444

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXPUNGE

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant 37 C.F.R. § 42.56, Petitioner, Unified Patents Inc. ("Unified" or "Petitioner"), hereby requests that certain confidential information in the record be expunged. Specifically, Petitioner respectfully submits that (i) Paper 10, Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to Petition; (ii) Paper 18, Petitioner's Reply Brief on Real Party in Interest; (iii) Paper 21, Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC's Opposition to Petitioner's Motion to Seal; (iv) Paper 24, Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC's Motion for Additional Discovery; (v) Paper 28, Petitioner's Motion to Seal; (vi) Paper 31, Petitioner's Motion to Seal; and (vii) Exhibits 1015, 1017, 2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2009, 2011-2013, and 2015-2016 (collectively, the "Confidential Documents") should be expunged from the record as these pleadings and exhibits contain Petitioner's highly confidential business information.

The deadline for filing a motion to expunge in this case is 45 days from the Final Written Decision. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide ("OPTP Guide"), 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48761 (Aug. 14, 2012). While generally the Confidential Documents would become publicly available at this time, the Board made clear in its Final Written Decision that "[t]he record will be maintained undisturbed pending the outcome of any appeal taken from [the] Decision." Paper 64 at 22. Accordingly, Petitioner requests that the Confidential Documents be expunged after the conclusion of any appeal proceeding or, if neither party appeals the Final Written Decision, after the expiration of the time period for appealing.

II. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

A. Procedural Background

Petitioner filed four motions to seal in this proceeding and requested that the Board maintain the Confidential Documents under seal because they contain three categories of Petitioner's confidential information: (1) Petitioner's members' identities; (2) Petitioner's membership terms and business strategy; and (3) Petitioner's financial information. See Papers 12, 17, 27, and 30. Patent Owner opposed all four Motions. On February 27, 2015, the Board granted Petitioner's motions to seal the Confidential Documents. See Paper 40. Specifically, the Board found that (1) Petitioner had "shown good cause to seal the identities of its members;" (2) Petitioner had "shown good cause for maintaining its membership terms and business strategy under seal;" and (3) that "the public's interest in knowing Petitioner's financial information is relativity low, such that it is outweighed by Petitioner's interest in maintaining its financial information confidential." Id. at 6-7.

B. Applicable Legal Standards

The OPTP Guide provides, in pertinent part, that:

There is an expectation that information will be made public where the existence of the information is referred to . . . in a final written decision

following a trial. A party seeking to maintain the confidentiality of information, however, may file a motion to expunge the information from the record prior to the information becoming public.

OPTP Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48761. Additionally, 37 C.F.R. § 42.56 provides that "[a]fter a denial of a petition to institute a trial or after final judgment in a trial, a party may file a motion to expunge confidential information in the record."

C. Good Cause Exists for Expunging the Confidential Documents from the Record

Good cause exists for expunging the Confidential Documents. All of the Confidential Documents contain Petitioner's highly confidential business information, which Petitioner guards in order to protect its own business as well as its members. Specifically, the Confidential Documents contain information relating to Petitioner's membership list, its membership terms and business strategy, and Petitioner's financial information. Additionally, the Board has already agreed that good cause exists to maintain the Confidential Documents under seal. Moreover, the Board did not rely on any of the sealed Confidential Documents in its Final Written Decision (*See* Paper 64 at 22), so there is no public interest in now making the Confidential Documents publicly available. Accordingly, good cause exists to expunge the Confidential Documents.

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

CONCLUSION III.

Because the Board has already found that the Confidential Documents should be maintained under seal, and because the Board did not rely on them in its Final Written Decision, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board protect Petitioner's highly confidential business information and expunge the Confidential Documents pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.56.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: March 21, 2016

Δ

/Michael L. Kiklis/ Michael L. Kiklis Reg. No. 38,939

DOCKE RM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.