

DOCKET NO.: 2001417-00158US1
Filed on behalf of

Vonage Holdings Corp., Vonage America, Inc., and Vonage Marketing LLC by:	Netflix, Inc. by:
Grant K. Rowan, Reg. No. 41,278 Victor F. Souto, Reg. No. 33,458 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006 Tel: (202) 663-6000 Email: grant.rowan@wilmerhale.com vic.souto@wilmerhale.com	Stacy S. Chen, Reg. No. 62,609 Matthias Kamber (<i>pro hac vice</i> pending) Keker & Van Nest LLP 633 Battery St. San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel.: (415) 391-5400 Email: schen@kvn.com mkamber@kvn.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP., VONAGE AMERICA, INC., VONAGE
MARKETING LLC, and NETFLIX, INC.
Petitioner

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR No.: *To be assigned*

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,108,704
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1, 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 27, and 30-31
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. Mandatory Notices 3

 A. Real Party-in-Interest..... 3

 B. Related Matters 3

 C. Counsel and Service Information 4

III. Certification of Grounds for Standing 5

IV. Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested 5

V. Overview of the '704 patent (Ex. 1001)..... 7

 A. Summary of the Alleged Invention 7

 1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP
 Addresses 9

 2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and
 Identifiers with a Connection Server 9

 3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to
 Connection Server, Which Returns IP Address of Second
 Processing Unit..... 10

 4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to
 Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second
 Processing Unit..... 11

 5. Using a “User Interface” to Control the Process..... 11

 B. Original Prosecution of the '704 Patent 12

 C. Prior *Ex Parte* Reexamination of the '704 Patent 12

 D. The Sipnet *Inter Partes* Review for the '704 Patent (Ex. 1010) 14

VI. Overview of the Primary Prior Art References 14

 A. The Microsoft Manual (Ex. 1003)..... 14

 1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP
 Addresses from DHCP Servers 15

 2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and
 Identifiers with the WINS Server 18

 3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to WINS

Server and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit.....	22
4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit.....	23
B. NetBIOS (Ex. 1004)	23
1. Step 1: Processing Units Have Assigned IP Addresses	25
2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the NBNS	25
3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to the NBNS and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit.....	27
4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communications with Second Processing Unit.....	28
C. Pinard (Ex. 1020).....	29
VII. Claim Construction.....	32
A. “Point-to-Point Communication Link” (Claims 1, 10-12, 14, 16, 19, 21-23, 27, 30-31)	32
B. “[Program Code for / Program Logic Configured to] Transmitting/Transmit to the Server a Network Protocol Address Received By the First Process Following Connection to the Computer Network” (Claims 12-14)	33
C. “Connection To The Computer Network” (Claim 3, 9-14) / “Connected To The Computer Network” (Claims 4, 6-8, 13)	34
VIII. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	34
IX. Specific Grounds for Petition	35
A. Ground 1: Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Microsoft Manual and NetBIOS.	35
1. A Person Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Microsoft Manual and NetBIOS.....	35
2. Claim 1 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.....	36
B. Ground 2: Claims 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 27, and 30-31 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Microsoft Manual, NetBIOS, and Pinard44	

1.	One Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Microsoft Manual, NetBIOS, and Pinard	44
2.	Claim 11 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.	45
3.	Claim 12 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	50
4.	Claim 14 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	50
5.	Claim 16 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	52
6.	Claim 19 (Depends from Claim 11) Should Be Cancelled.	53
7.	Claim 22 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.	54
8.	Claim 23 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled.	56
9.	Claim 27 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled.	56
10.	Claim 30 (Depends from Claim 22) Should Be Cancelled.	57
11.	Claim 31 (Depends from Claim 30) Should Be Cancelled.	57
X.	Conclusion	58

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Federal Cases

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.,
496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....32

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)5

Federal Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.1 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)32

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012)32

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.