

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP., VONAGE AMERICA, INC.,
VONAGE MARKETING LLC, AND NETFLIX, INC.;
Petitioner

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01225
Patent 6,009,469

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioners Vonage Holdings Corp., Vonage America, Inc., and Vonage Marketing LLC (“Vonage”) and Patent Owner Straight Path IP Group, Inc (“Straight Path”) jointly request termination of the *Inter Partes* Review (Case No. IPR2014-01225), which is directed to U.S. Patent No. 6,009,469 (the “’469 Patent”).

Petitioner Netflix¹ and Vonage filed this Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of the ‘469 Patent on August 1, 2014. Straight Path did not file a preliminary response. No decision on institution has been entered in this proceeding, and accordingly, no final written decision on the merits of this review proceeding has been entered. The parties have settled their dispute, and have reached agreement to terminate this *Inter Partes Review*.

The parties’ Settlement Agreement has been made in writing, and a true copy of same is attached hereto as Exhibit 1030². The parties desire that the Settlement Agreement be maintained as business confidential information under 37 C.F.R. §42.74(c), and a separate joint request to that effect is being filed on even date herewith.

¹ Petitioner Netflix, Inc. has already filed a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings on September 19, 2014 (Paper 8).

² The Settlement Agreement is being filed electronically via the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) as “Parties and Board Only.”

Vonage filed four related Petitions for Inter Partes Review on August 1, 2014. Those proceedings are IPR2014-01223, relating to U.S. Patent No. 6,513,066; IPR2014-01224, relating to U.S. Patent No. 6,701,365; IPR2014-01234, relating to U.S. Patent No. 6,131,121; and IPR2014-01241, relating to U.S. Patent No. 6,108,704. Vonage and the Patent Owner are concurrently and jointly requesting termination of those proceedings.

1. Reasons Why Termination is Appropriate.

Termination is proper under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), because the parties are jointly requesting termination, and the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” Within the context of Section 317(a), because the PTAB has not yet issued a decision instituting the trial or a final written decision, it accordingly not decided the merits of the proceeding. Therefore, the parties are entitled to terminate this proceeding under Section 317(a) upon their joint request.

2. Related District Court Litigations and Status.

The '469 Patent is the subject of the following pending litigations:

Case Name	Case No.	Venue	Filing Date	Status
Amazon.com, Inc. v. Straight Path IP Group, Inc.	5-14-cv-04561	CAND	October 13, 2014	Pending
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.	3-14-cv-04312	CAND	September 24, 2014	Pending
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Avaya, Inc.	3-14-cv-04309	CAND	September 24, 2014	Pending
Petition for Inter Partes Review by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	IPR2014-01368	PTAB	August 22, 2104	Pending
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Netflix, Inc.	6-14-cv-00405	TXED	May 2, 2014	Terminated
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Vonage Holdings Corp. et al	2-14-cv-00502	NJD	January 24, 2014	Terminated
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Vonage Holdings Corp. et al	1-13-cv-01366	VAED	November 4, 2013	Terminated
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Vocalocity, Inc.	3-13-cv-00504	VAED	August 2, 2013	Terminated
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Vocalocity, Inc.	1-13-cv-01100	VAED	August 2, 2013	Terminated
Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Bandwidth.com, Inc.	1-13-cv-00932	VAED	August 1, 2013	Terminated

Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Telesphere Networks Ltd.	1-13-cv-00937	VAED	August 1, 2013	Terminated
Innovative Communications Technologies, Inc. v. ooVoo, LLC	2-12-cv-00008	VAED	January 4, 2012	Terminated
Innovative Communications Technologies, Inc. v. Vivox, Inc.	2-12-cv-00007	VAED	January 4, 2012	Terminated
Innovative Communications Technologies, Inc. v. Stalker Software, Inc., etc.	2-12-cv-00009	VAED	January 4, 2012	Terminated
Net2phone, Inc. v. Ebay, Inc. et al.	4-10-cv-04090	ARWD	June 23, 2010	Terminated
Net2phone, Inc. v. Ebay, Inc. et al.	2-06-cv-02469	NJD	June 1, 2006	Terminated

3. Future Participation by the Parties.

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Petitioner Vonage will not bring or assist with any action challenging the validity of the Licensed Patents. It is unclear how these proceedings could properly proceed if they are not terminated by this joint motion.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.