

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP., VONAGE AMERICA, INC., VONAGE
MARKETING LLC, and NETFLIX, Inc.,
Petitioner

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS INNOVATIVE COMMUNICATIONS
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,009,469
Case IPR No.: *To Be Assigned*

DECLARATION OF BRUCE M. MAGGS, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND | 1 |
| II. | MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED | 4 |
| III. | THE BASICS OF NETWORK COMMUNICATION | 6 |
| | A. Computer Network Hardware Configurations | 6 |
| | B. Network Protocols | 8 |
| | C. Assigning Network Addresses to Devices | 9 |
| | D. Mapping Names to IP Addresses | 13 |
| | E. Looking Up the IP Address of a Network Device, Including Those with Dynamically Assigned Addresses | 13 |
| | F. Point-to-Point Communications | 16 |
| | G. User Interfaces | 17 |
| IV. | SUMMARY OF THE '469 PATENT | 18 |
| | A. Summary of the Alleged Invention | 18 |
| | 1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses | 19 |
| | 2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with a Connection Server | 19 |
| | 3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to Connection Server, Which Returns IP Address of Second Processing Unit | 20 |
| | 4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit | 21 |
| | 5. Using a "User Interface" to Control the Process | 22 |
| | B. Original Prosecution of the '469 Patent | 23 |
| | C. Prior <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination of the '469 Patent | 23 |
| V. | OVERVIEW OF THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART REFERENCES | 25 |
| | A. The Microsoft Manual (Ex. 1003) | 25 |
| | 1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses from DHCP Servers | 26 |
| | 2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the WINS Server | 29 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 3. | Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to WINS Server and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit ... | 33 |
| 4. | Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit | 34 |
| B. | NetBIOS (Ex. 1004) | 35 |
| 1. | Step 1: Processing Units Have Assigned IP Addresses..... | 36 |
| 2. | Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the NBNS | 36 |
| 3. | Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to the NBNS and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit..... | 38 |
| 4. | Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communications with Second Processing Unit | 39 |
| C. | Pinard (Ex. 1020) | 40 |
| VI. | SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION..... | 43 |
| A. | Claims 1-3, 5-6, 9-10, 14, and 17-18 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Microsoft Manual, NetBIOS and Pinard..... | 43 |
| 1. | Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... | 46 |
| 2. | One Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Microsoft Manual, NetBIOS and Pinard. | 47 |
| 3. | Claim 1 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled. | 49 |
| 4. | Claim 2 (Depends From Claim 1) Should Be Cancelled..... | 57 |
| 5. | Claim 3 (Depends From Claim 2) Should Be Cancelled..... | 58 |
| 6. | Claim 9 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled. | 61 |
| 7. | Claim 10 (Depends From Claims 8/9) Should Be Cancelled... | 65 |
| 8. | Claim 14 (Depends From Claim 9) Should Be Cancelled..... | 66 |
| 9. | Claim 17 (Depends From Claim 9) Should Be Cancelled..... | 68 |
| 10. | Claim 18 (Depends From Claim 17) Should Be Cancelled..... | 69 |
| B. | Ground II: Claims 5 and 6 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Microsoft Manual and NetBIOS. | 69 |
| 1. | One Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Microsoft Manual and NetBIOS. | 70 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 2. | Claim 5 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled. | 70 |
| 3. | Claim 6 (Depend From Claim 5) Should Be Cancelled. | 71 |
| VII. | CONCLUSION..... | 72 |

I, Bruce M. Maggs, Ph.D., declare:

1. I have been retained by Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP and Keker & Van Nest LLP, counsel for Petitioner Vonage Holding Corp., Vonage America, Inc., Vonage Marketing LLC, and Netflix, Inc., to submit this declaration in connection with Petitioner's Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Claims 1-3, 5-6, 9-10, 14, and 17-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,009,469 ("the '469 patent") (Ex. 1001). I am being compensated for my time at a rate of \$700 per hour, plus actual expenses. My compensation is not dependent in any way upon the outcome of this Petition.

I. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

2. I am an expert in the field of computer systems and networking, including network communication protocols and database design. I have studied, taught, practiced, and researched in the field of Computer Science for approximately twenty-five years.

3. I received a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1989, a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1986, and a Bachelor of Science degree in Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1985.

4. I have been a Professor of Computer Science at Duke University since July 2009, where I first served as a Visiting Professor, and then became a tenured

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.