

Filed on behalf of Intellectual Ventures II LLC

By: Herbert D. Hart III
Sharon A. Hwang
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Tel.: (312) 775-8000
Fax: (312) 775-8100
Email: hhart@mcandrews-ip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ERICSSON INC. AND TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET
LM ERICSSON,
Petitioners

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01195
Patent No. 7,787,431

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
II.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE OF PROCEDURAL DEFECTS	2
	A. Petitioner Does Not Specify The Real Party-In-Interest	2
	B. Petitioner Improperly Circumvents The 60-Page Limit.....	3
III.	THE '431 PATENT.....	5
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ISSUES	10
	A. “bandwidth”	11
	B. “core-band”	12
	C. “primary preamble”	14
	D. “peak-to-average ratio”	16
V.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE PETITIONER FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A).....	17
	A. Challenge #1: Petitioner Has Not Shown That Any Of Claims 8-11 Or 18-21 Is Unpatentable Over Li, Yamaura And Zhuang	20
	1. Yamaura Combined With Li Does Not Teach The Recited “OFDMA Core-Band”	20
	a. Li Alone Does Not Disclose Either A Core-Band Or The Use Of Variable Channel Bandwidth	20
	b. Petitioner Fails To Show That Yamaura Teaches An OFDMA Core-Band Or The Use Of Variable Channel Bandwidth	22
	c. Petitioner Fails To Explain Why Yamaura And Li Would Be Combined To Render Obvious The Claimed “OFDMA Core-Band”	24

2.	Yamaura Does Not Teach Or Suggest The Recited “Primary Preamble”	26
3.	Yamaura, Alone Or In Combination With Zhuang, Does Not Teach The “Properties” Feature Of The Primary Preamble.....	29
4.	Petitioner Failed To Show Any Reason, Motivation, Or Suggestion To Combine Li, Yamaura, And Zhuang As To Claims 8-11 Or 18-21	33
B.	Challenge #2: Petitioner Has Not Shown That Any Of Claims 1, 2, 12, Or 22 Is Unpatentable Over Li, Yamaura, Zhuang, And Beta	35
1.	Yamaura Combined With Li Does Not Teach The Recited “Core-Band”	35
2.	Yamaura Does Not Teach Or Suggest The Use Of The Recited “Primary Preamble”	37
3.	Yamaura, Alone Or In Combination With Zhuang, Does Not Teach The “Properties” Feature Of The Primary Preamble.....	37
4.	Petitioner Failed To Show Any Reason, Motivation, Or Suggestion To Combine Li, Yamaura, Zhuang, and Beta As To Claims 1, 2, 12, or 22	38
C.	Challenge #3: Petitioner Has Not Shown That Any Of Claims 8-11 And 18-21 Is Unpatentable Over Yamaura, Mody, Nobilet, And Popovic	38
1.	Yamaura Combined With Li Does Not Teach The Recited “OFDMA Core-Band”	39
2.	Yamaura Does Not Teach Or Suggest The Use Of The Recited “Primary Preamble”	39
3.	Yamaura, Alone Or In Combination With Mody, Nobilet, And Popovic Does Not Teach The “Properties” Feature Of The Primary Preamble	40

4.	Petitioner Failed To Show Any Reason, Motivation, Or Suggestion To Combine Li, Yamaura, Mody, Nobilet, and Popovic As To Claims 8-11 or 18-21	43
5.	The Board Should Deny Challenge #3 Because It Duplicates Challenge #1 With Respect To Claims 8-11 And 18-21	43
D.	Challenge #4: Petitioner Has Not Shown That Any Of Claims 1, 2, 12, Or 22 Is Unpatentable Over Li, Yamaura, Mody, Nobilet, Popovic, And Beta.....	44
1.	Yamaura Combined With Li Does Not Teach The Recited “Core-Band”	45
2.	Yamaura Does Not Teach Or Suggest The Use Of The “Primary Preamble” As Claimed.....	45
3.	Yamaura, Alone Or In Combination With Mody, Nobilet, And Popovic Does Not Teach The Recited “Properties” Of The Primary Preamble	45
4.	Petitioner Failed To Show Any Reason, Motivation, Or Suggestion To Combine Li, Yamaura, Mody, Nobilet, Popovic, and Beta As To Claims 1, 2, 12, And 21	46
5.	The Board Should Deny Challenge #4 Because It Duplicates Challenge #2 With Respect To Claims 1, 2, 12, And 22.....	47
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	48

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>A.C. Dispensing Equipment Inc. v. Prince Castle LLC</i> , IPR2014-00511, Decision Petitioner’s Request for Rehearing (Paper No. 16, Sep. 10, 2014).....	5
<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp.</i> , 732 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	26
<i>BSP Software v. Motio, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00307, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 29, 2013).....	25
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Technologies, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Decision Denying Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review (Paper No. 12, Aug. 29, 2014)	5
<i>Google v. EveryMD</i> , IPR2014-000347, Decision Denying Institution (Paper 9 at 19, May 22, 2014)	36
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	10
<i>InTouch Technologies, Inc. v. VGO Communications</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	25, 30, 40
<i>Irdeto Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corp.</i> , 383 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	14
<i>Kara Tech. Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	12
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	19
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive. Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012).....	44
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.