

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AMERICA, LLC
Petitioners

v.

AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01184
Patent 8,532,641

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,532,641***

* As directed by the Board in Paper No. 3, Petitioners hereby resubmit the Petition to address formality issues identified therein.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	iv
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	3
III. PETITIONERS HAVE STANDING.....	5
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ‘641 PATENT	6
A. Overview of the ‘641 Patent	6
B. ‘641 Patent Prosecution History.....	7
V. ‘641 PATENT CLAIMS 1-3 AND 5-14 ARE NOT ENTITLED TO CLAIM PRIORITY TO THE MARCH 28, 2000 FILING DATE OF THE ‘812 APPLICATION AND THE SEPTEMBER 23, 2004 FILING DATE OF THE ‘755 APPLICATION.....	9
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONERS WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘641 PATENT.....	25
A. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	25
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art & State of the Art.....	27
C. <u>Ground 1</u> : Obvious over Ohmura in view of Ahn (Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14); <u>Ground 2</u> : Obvious over Ohmura in view of Ahn & Nokia (Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10, 13, 14); <u>Ground 3</u> : Obvious over Ohmura in view of Ahn & Galensky (Claims 7, 12); <u>Ground 4</u> : Obvious over Ohmura in view of Ahn, Nokia, & Galensky (Claims 6, 7, 12).....	27
1. Overview of US 6,937,732 (“Ohmura”).....	27
2. Overview of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0214525 (“Ahn”)	28
3. Overview of Nokia 9000/9000i Owner’s Manual (“Nokia”)	29
4. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,845,398 (“Galensky”).....	30
5. Motivation to Combine Ohmura with Ahn, Nokia, & Galensky	30
6. Claims 1-3 & 5-14 Are Obvious Over Grounds 1-4.....	33
VII. CONCLUSION.....	59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>In re Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC</i> , 550 Fed. Appx. 884 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 9, 2014)	12
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	26
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1268, 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	10
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	27
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.</i> , Case CBM2012-00003, Paper 15 (Feb. 12, 2013).....	9-10
<i>Studiengesellschaft Kohle, M.B.H. v. Shell Oil Co.</i> , 112 F.3d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	23
<i>Tronzo v. Biomet, Inc.</i> , 156 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	9
<i>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</i> , 935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	9
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C.	
§ 102.....	passim
§ 103.....	passim
§ 112.....	9, 10
§§ 311-319.....	1
§ 314.....	25

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R.	5
§ 1.33.....	59
§ 42.....	1
§ 42.8.....	3-4
§ 42.15	59
§ 42.22	5
§ 42.100	25, 59
§ 42.104	5, 25
§ 42.105	59
§ 325.....	4

MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE

§ 2111	26
--------------	----

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1201	U.S. Patent No. 8,532,641 (“the ‘641 patent”)
Ex. 1202	U.S. Patent No. 8,532,641 File History
Ex. 1203	U.S. Patent No. 6,937,732 (“Ohmura”)
Ex. 1204	European Patent Application Publication No. 1146674 filed by Ohmura <i>et al.</i>
Ex. 1205	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0214525 (“Ahn”)
Ex. 1206	International Publication No. WO 02/096137 filed by Ahn <i>et al.</i>
Ex. 1207	Declaration of Harri Valio
Ex. 1208	Declaration of Jari Toivanen
Ex. 1208A	Exhibit A to the Declaration of Jari Toivanen - User’s Manual for the Nokia 9000 Communicator, dated 1995, published by Nokia Mobile Phones.
Ex. 1208B	Exhibit B to the Declaration of Jari Toivanen - Owner’s Manual for the Nokia 9000i Communicator (“Nokia”), dated 1995-1997, published by Nokia Mobile Phones Ltd.
Ex. 1209	U.S. Patent No. 6,845,398 (“Galensky”)
Ex. 1210	Declaration of Paul E. Berg
Ex. 1210A	Exhibit A to the Declaration of Paul E. Berg - Universal Serial Bus Specification, Revision 1.1, September 23, 1998, Compaq Computer Corporation, Intel Corporation, Microsoft Corporation, and NEC Corporation.
Ex. 1211	U.S. Patent No. 7,953,390 File History
Ex. 1212	May 20, 2014 Decision on Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review in IPR2014-00209 (<i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,953,390)
Ex. 1213	May 20, 2014 Decision on Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review in IPR2014-00212 (<i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,953,390)
Ex. 1214	June 12, 2014 Action Closing Prosecution in Reexamination Control Nos. 95/001,262 and 90/011,254 (<i>Inter Partes</i> and <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexaminations of U.S. Patent No. 7,187,947)
Ex. 1215	June 30, 2014 Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decision, Appeal No. 2014-002024 and August 18, 2011 Action Closing Prosecution in

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.