

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01181*
Patent 8,532,641 B2

Before the Honorable KEVIN F. TURNER, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and
JON B. TORNQUIST, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DECLARATION OF HAYAN YOON

I, Hayan Yoon, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.

*Case Nos. IPR2014-01182 and IPR2014-01184 have been consolidated with the instant proceeding. *See* IPR2014-01181, Paper 15; IPR2014-01182, Paper 15; and IPR2014-01184, Paper 15.

2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified *Inter Partes* Review proceeding and Petitioners' Reply to Patent Owner Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC's Response. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based on my personal knowledge.
3. Exhibit 1026 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from "Microsoft Computer Dictionary, Fourth Edition," published by Microsoft Press, and dated 1999. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
4. Exhibit 1027 hereto is a true and correct copy of European Patent Application Publication No. EP 1675309 filed by Gorman, which was downloaded from the European Patent Office ("EPO") Espacenet website and which is a record of the EPO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
5. Exhibit 1028 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from "Webster's II New College Dictionary," published by the Houghton Mifflin Company and dated 1995. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no

alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

6. Exhibit 1029 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from “Dictionary of Computing, Fourth Edition” published by the Oxford University Press and dated 1997. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
7. Exhibit 1036 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from “Merriam-Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition” published by Merriam-Webster, Inc. and dated 1997. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
8. Exhibit 1037 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,633,932 to Bork et al., which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

9. Exhibit 1050 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,884,269 to Cellier, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
10. Exhibit 1051 hereto is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from “Comprehensive Dictionary of Electrical Engineering,” published by the CRC Press and IEEE Press and dated 1999. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
11. Exhibit 1055 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history of Reexamination Control No. 90/011,982 (ex parte reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,634,228) from February 14, 2013 until June 10, 2014, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

12. Exhibit 1056 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Non-Final Action issued on June 14, 2010 in Reexamination Control Nos. 90/010,333, 95/001,223 and 95/001,264 (Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexaminations of U.S. Patent No. 7,324,833), which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
13. Exhibit 1058 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,928,468 to Leermakers, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.
14. Exhibit 1059 hereto is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 2008 in IPR2014-00209 (Universal Serial Bus Specification, Revision 2.0, April 27, 2000, Compaq, Hewlett-Packard, Intel, Lucent, Microsoft, NEC, Philips), which was downloaded from the USPTO PTAB Trials website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.