

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

United States Patent No.: 8,532,641	§	Attorney Docket No.:
Inventors: Russell W. White,	§	110797-0004-655
Kevin R. Imes	§	Customer No. 28120
Formerly Application No.: 13/673,391	§	Petitioners:
Issue Date: Sept. 10, 2013	§	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.;
Filing Date: Nov. 9, 2012	§	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.;
Priority Date: March 28, 2000	§	Samsung Telecommunications America,
	§	LLC
Former Group Art Unit: 2646	§	
Former Examiner: Erika Washington	§	
	§	
	§	

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING MEDIA

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF HAYAN YOON IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,532,641**

I, Hayan Yoon, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.
2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified *Inter*

*Partes* Review proceeding that is being requested at the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) by the above-identified petitioners under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based on my personal knowledge.

3. Exhibit 1001 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 8,532,641 to White *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

4. Exhibit 1002 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 8,532,641 to White *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

5. Exhibit 1003 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,990,334 to Ito, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

6. Exhibit 1006 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,973,067 to Haartsen, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website

and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

7. Exhibit 1008 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 7,123,936 to Rydbeck *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

8. Exhibit 1009 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,845,398 to Galensky *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

9. Exhibit 1010 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,728,531 to Lee *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom

right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

10. Exhibit 1011 hereto is a true and correct copy of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/155,500 filed by Lee *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

11. Exhibit 1012 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,772,212 to Lau *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

12. Exhibit 1014 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 7,953,390 to White *et al.*, which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

13. Exhibit 1015 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Institution Decision dated May 20, 2014 in Case IPR 2014-00209 (*Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,953,390), which was downloaded from the USPTO Patent Review Processing System (“PRPS”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

14. Exhibit 1016 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Institution Decision dated May 20, 2014 in Case IPR 2014-00212 (*Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,953,390), which was downloaded from the USPTO PRPS website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an exhibit label and page numbers on each page at the bottom right corner. However, no alterations, other than these noted markings, have been made to this document.

15. Exhibit 1017 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Action Closing Prosecution issued on June 12, 2014 in Reexamination Control Nos. 95/001,262 and 90/011,254 (*inter partes* and *ex parte* reexaminations of U.S. Patent No. 7,187,947), which was downloaded from the USPTO PAIR website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. This document has been marked with an

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.