

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent No.: 8,532,641	§	Attorney Docket No.:
Inventors: Russell W. White,	§	110797-0004-655
Kevin R. Imes	§	Customer No. 28120
Formerly Application No.: 13/673,391	§	Petitioners:
Issue Date: Sept. 10, 2013	§	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.;
Filing Date: Nov. 9, 2012	§	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.;
Priority Date: March 28, 2000	§	Samsung Telecommunications America,
	§	LLC
Former Group Art Unit: 2646	§	
Former Examiner: Erika Washington	§	
	§	
	§	

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING MEDIA

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF DR. SCHUYLER QUACKENBUSH
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,532,641**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Opinions Regarding A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	8
III.	The ‘641 Patent	9
	A. Overview of the ‘641 Patent	9
	B. Claim Construction of ‘641 Patent Claims	11
IV.	Analysis of The ‘641 Patent	12
	A. Ground 1: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen (Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14)	
	Ground 2: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen and Nokia (Claims 1-3, 5, 8-11, 13, 14)	
	Ground 3: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen and Rydbeck (Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14)	
	Ground 4: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia, and Rydbeck (Claims 1-3, 5, 8-11, 13, 14)	
	Ground 5: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen and Galensky (Claims 7, 12)	
	Ground 6: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia, and Galensky (Claims 6, 7, 12)	
	Ground 7: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Rydbeck, and Galensky (Claims 7, 12)	
	Ground 8: Obvious over Ito in view of Haartsen, Nokia Rydbeck, and Galensky (Claims 6, 7, 12)	19
	1. Claim 1	22
	(a) Preamble	22
	(b) Element [1.A]	22
	(c) Element [1.B]	23
	(d) Element [1.C]	23
	(e) Element [1.D].....	24
	(f) Element [1.E]	25
	(g) Element [1.F].....	30
	(h) Element [1.G].....	30
	(i) Element [1.H].....	34
	(j) Element [1.I]	39

2.	Claim 2.....	42
3.	Claim 3.....	45
	(a) Element [3.A].....	45
	(b) Element [3.B].....	47
	(c) Element [3.C].....	48
4.	Claim 5.....	49
5.	Claim 6.....	52
	(a) Element [6.A].....	52
	(b) Element [6.B].....	53
6.	Claim 7.....	56
	(a) Element [7.A].....	56
	(b) Element [7.B].....	57
7.	Claim 8.....	60
	(a) Preamble.....	60
	(b) Element [8.A].....	61
	(c) Element [8.B].....	63
	(d) Element [8.C].....	67
	(e) Element [8.D].....	67
	(f) Element [8.E].....	67
8.	Claim 9.....	67
	(a) Element [9.A].....	67
	(b) Element [9.B].....	68
	(c) Element [9.C].....	68
	(d) Element [9.D].....	68
9.	Claim 10.....	68
10.	Claim 11.....	71
11.	Claim 12.....	72
	(a) Element [12.A].....	72
	(b) Element [12.B].....	72
	(c) Element [12.C].....	72
12.	Claim 13.....	73

(a)	Element [13.A]	73
(b)	Element [13.B]	73
13.	Claim 14	74
B.	Ground 9: Obvious over Lee in view of Nokia, Lau, and Haartsen (Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 10, 13, 14)	
	Ground 10: Obvious over Lee in view of Nokia, Lau, Haartsen, and Rydbeck (Claims 1-3, 5)	
	Ground 11: Obvious over Lee in view of Nokia, Lau, Haartsen and Galensky (Claims 6, 7, 12)	
	Ground 12: Obvious over Lee in view of Nokia, Lau, Haartsen, Rydbeck, and Galensky (Claims 6, 7)	
	Ground 13: Obvious over Lee in view of Lau and Haartsen (Claims 8, 9, 11, 13, 14)	
	Ground 14: Obvious over Lee in view of Lau, Haartsen, and Galensky (Claim 12)	
	Ground 15: Obvious over Lee in view of Lau, Haartsen, and Rydbeck (Claims 1, 2)	
	Ground 16: Obvious over Lee in view of Lau, Haartsen, Rydbeck, and Galensky (Claim 7)	75
1.	Claim 1	76
(a)	Preamble	76
(b)	Element [1.A]	77
(c)	Element [1.B]	77
(d)	Element [1.C]	80
(e)	Element [1.D]	81
(f)	Element [1.E]	83
(g)	Element [1.F]	87
(h)	Element [1.G]	88
(i)	Element [1.H]	97
(j)	Element [1.I]	103
2.	Claim 2	106
3.	Claim 3	108
(a)	Element [3.A]	108
(b)	Element [3.B]	110
(c)	Element [3.C]	112

4.	Claim 5	114
5.	Claim 6	116
	(a) Element [6.A]	116
	(b) Element [6.B]	118
6.	Claim 7	120
	(a) Element [7.A]	120
	(b) Element [7.B]	121
7.	Claim 8	124
	(a) Preamble	124
	(b) Element [8.A]	125
	(c) Element [8.B]	127
	(d) Element [8.C]	129
	(e) Element [8.D]	129
	(f) Element [8.E]	129
8.	Claim 9	130
	(a) Element [9.A]	130
	(b) Element [9.B]	130
	(c) Element [9.C]	130
	(d) Element [9.D]	130
9.	Claim 10	131
10.	Claim 11	133
11.	Claim 12	136
	(a) Element [12.A]	136
	(b) Element [12.B]	136
	(c) Element [12.C]	136
12.	Claim 13	137
	(a) Element [13.A]	137
	(b) Element [13.B]	137
13.	Claim 14	140

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.