

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD;  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-01181<sup>1</sup>

Patent No. 8,532,641 B2

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

---

<sup>1</sup> Cases IPR2014-01182 and IPR2014-01184 have been consolidated with the instant proceeding.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,532,641 .....	2
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	4
A.	“Wireless telephone device” should be construed as “telephone with access to a cellular radio system so it can be used over a wide area, without a physical connection to a network or external power source.” .....	5
B.	“Stream a signal”/“streaming audio signal” should be construed as “signal/audio signal that is played as it arrives at a recipient device, not requiring that an entire file be transferred to and stored at a recipient device prior to initiating playback.” .....	6
C.	“A signal representing at least a portion of a song”/“signal that represents a playing of the song” should be accorded the plain and ordinary meaning. ....	8
D.	“Portable electronic device” should be construed as “an electronic device that can be easily moved by a user from one location to another and that can be operated in a mobile environment independent of, or untethered to, another system.” .....	9
E.	“Communication rate that provides for a CD quality listening experience” should be construed as “data transfer rate sufficient to obtain 1.4 megabits per second.” .....	10
F.	“While” should be construed as “at substantially or the same time.” .....	11
IV.	ARGUMENT .....	12
A.	References Cited In the Petition.....	12
1.	Abecassis.....	12
2.	Chennakeshu.....	13
3.	Herrod.....	13
4.	Galensky .....	14

5. Ito.....	15
6. Haartsen.....	15
7. Nokia.....	16
8. Rydbeck .....	16
9. Ohmura.....	17
10. Ahn.....	17
B. Petitioners have not provided sufficient evidence to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that all claim elements of the challenged claims are disclosed in the references relied upon by Petitioners in the Petition or that there is a motivation to combine the referenced as proposed by Petitioners.....	18
C. Legal standard for obviousness.....	19
D. Grounds 1 and 2 of IPR2014-01181 do not render Claims 8, 11, 13-14 of the '641 patent obvious.....	23
1. GROUND 1: Obviousness over Ito, Haartsen, Nokia, and Rydbeck .....	23
a. The cited references do not render Claim 8 obvious. ....	23
i. Ito does not disclose a “wireless communications module” compliant with Bluetooth and the proposed combination of Ito with Haartsen is the result of improper hindsight bias.....	23
(A) Ito discloses an analog local wireless network and does not disclose a Bluetooth-enabled system. ....	24
(B) A POSITA would not be motivated to add Bluetooth to Ito. ....	26
ii. Ito does not disclose a “portable electronic device ...operable to play an audio file that represents a song” or a “recipient device” able to make a “selection of a selectable menu item.” .....	31
b. The cited references do not render dependent claims 11, 13, and 14 obvious.....	37

- i. For claim 11, the cited references do not disclose a “wireless communications module” that is operable to communicate streaming audio as a communication rate “that provides for a CD quality listening experience.” .....37
    - ii. The cited references do not disclose a “portable electronic device” with “an internal battery and means for recharging the internal battery.” .....39
  - 2. GROUND 2: Obviousness over Ito, Haartsen, Nokia, Rydbeck, and Galensky .....42
    - a. Ito does not disclose buffer memory.....43
    - b. A POSITA would not be motivated to combine Ito and Galensky. ....44
- E. Grounds 1, 2, 5, and 6 of IPR2014-01182 do not render Claims 1-3 and 5-14 of the ’641 patent obvious. ....46
  - 1. GROUND 1: Obviousness over Abecassis and Chennakeshu .....46
    - a. Abecassis does not disclose “a memory ... configured to store a plurality of audio files.” .....46
    - b. Abecassis does not disclose “a wireless communication module compliant with a Bluetooth standard,” and there would have been no motivation to combine Abecassis with Chennakeshu. ....49
    - c. Abecassis does not disclose “a selection of a selectable menu item presented on a recipient device display.” .....51
    - d. Abecassis and Chennakeshu do not disclose “wireless communication module is configured to communicate at least a portion of the streaming audio signal to recipient device using an asynchronous channel.” .....51
    - e. The cited references do not render claims 11 and 13 obvious. ....52
    - f. For claim 11, Abecassis does not disclose “streaming an audio signal at a communication rate that provides for a CD quality listening experience.” .....52
  - 2. GROUND 2: Obviousness over Abecassis, Chennakeshu, and Herrod.....54

a. For claim 1, Abecassis does not disclose a rechargeable power supply. ....54

b. Abecassis does not disclose a physical interface having a first and a second conductive path, the physical interface operable to communicate data via the first conductive path and to receive a recharging power for the rechargeable power supply via the second conductive path. ....58

c. Abecassis does not disclose a “collection of instructions” that are “operable when executed to communicate a collection of information about media content available from the wireless telephone device to a recipient device such that the recipient device can use the collection of information to generate a graphical menu comprising a selectable menu item associated with the available media content.”.....61

d. Abecassis and Chennakeshu do not disclose “stream[ing] a signal representing at least a portion of a song to the recipient device using a given asynchronous wireless channel of a localized communications signaling network.” .....64

e. For claim 2, the proposed combination does not disclose “the wireless communication module is compliant with a Bluetooth standard.” .....66

f. For claim 5, Abecassis and Chennakeshu do not inherently disclose “the collection of instructions comprises a set of hands-free telephone instructions operable when executed to allow the wireless telephone device to operate in a hands-free mode when the wireless telephone device is wirelessly coupled with a wireless component of an automobile.” .....67

g. For claim 9, Abecassis and Herrod do not disclose “a rechargeable power source located within the enclosure.” .....68

h. For claim 9, Abecassis and Herrod do not disclose “a non-circular physical interface having a first and a second conductive path, the non-circular physical interface operable to communicate data via the first conductive path and to receive a recharging power for the rechargeable power supply via the second conductive path.” .....68

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.