

Question No. 1: Direct Infringement—Hyundai

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Hyundai Motor America, Inc. and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama LLC directly infringe any of the following claims of the patents-in-suit?

Answer “YES” or “NO” as to each claim for each representative product.

2010 Hyundai Sonata

Claim 28 of the ‘833 patent

yes

Claim 35 of the ‘833 patent

yes

Claim 3 of the ‘228 patent

yes

Claim 22 of the ‘228 patent

yes

Claim 28 of the ‘228 patent

yes

Please go on to Question No. 2.

Question No. 2: Indirect Infringement (Induced)—Hyundai

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Hyundai Motor America, Inc. and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama LLC induce infringement of any of the following claims of the patents-in-suit?

Answer "YES" or "NO" as to each claim for each representative product.

2010 Hyundai Sonata

Claim 28 of the '833 patent

YES

Claim 35 of the '833 patent

YES

Claim 3 of the '228 patent

YES

Claim 22 of the '228 patent

YES

Claim 28 of the '228 patent

YES

Please go on to Question No. 3.

Question No. 3: Indirect Infringement (Contributory)—Hyundai

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Hyundai Motor America, Inc. and Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama LLC contributorily infringe any of the following claims of the patents-in-suit?

Answer "YES" or "NO" as to each claim for each representative product.

2010 Hyundai Sonata

Claim 28 of the '833 patent	<u>YES</u>
Claim 35 of the '833 patent	<u>YES</u>
Claim 3 of the '228 patent	<u>YES</u>
Claim 22 of the '228 patent	<u>YES</u>
Claim 28 of the '228 patent	<u>YES</u>

Please go on to Question No. 4.

Question No. 4: Direct Infringement—Kia

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Kia Motors America, Inc. directly infringes any of the following claims of the patents-in-suit?

Answer "YES" or "NO" as to each claim for each representative product.

2010 Kia Optima

Claim 3 of the '228 patent

yes

Claim 22 of the '228 patent

yes

Claim 28 of the '228 patent

yes

Please go on to Question No. 5.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.