trials@uspto.gov

571-272-7822

DOCKET

IPR2014-01166, Paper No. 43 IPR2014-01276, Paper No. 39 December 10, 2015

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., CIENA CORPORATION, CORIANT OPERATIONS, INC., CORIANT (USA) INC., AND FUJITSU NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC., Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276 Patents RE42, 368 and RE42, 678

Held: November 5, 2015

BEFORE: JOSIAH C. COCKS, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, JAMES A. TARTAL, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, November 5, 2015, commencing at 1:30 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, 9th Floor, Hearing Room A, Alexandria, Virginia, before Melissa G. Fleming, Professional Court Reporter. Cases IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276 Patents RE42,368 and RE42,678

**APPEARANCES:** 

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

FOR CISCO SYSTEMS:

WAYNE STACY, ESQUIRE SARAH GUSKE, ESQUIRE Cooley LLP 380 Interlocken Crescent Suite 900 Broomfield, California 80021-8023 --and--FOR FUJITSU NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS:

CHRISTOPHER E. CHALSEN, ESQUIRE NATHANIEL T. BROWAND, ESQUIRE Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP 28 Liberty Street New York, New York 10005-1413

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

DOCKET

JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE ROBERT GREENE STERNE, ESQUIRE NICHOLAS J. NOWAK, ESQUIRE TYLER J. DUTTON, ESQUIRE Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGETARTAL: Good afternoon and welcome. We
4	are here for the final hearing in two separate inter partes review
5	cases. Both are captioned Cisco Systems, Incorporated, Ciena
6	Corporation, Coriant Operations, Incorporated, Coriant USA,
7	Incorporated, and Fujitsu Network Communications,
8	Incorporated, Petitioner, versus Capella Photonics, Incorporated,
9	Patent Owner.
10	The first case is IPR2014-01166 concerning U.S. Patent
11	RE42,368E. The second case is IPR2014-01276 concerning U.S.
12	Patent RE42,678E.
13	First, let me begin by introducing the panel. I am joined
14	by Judge Cocks to my right and Judge Deshpande and I am Judge
15	Tartal.
16	Let's get the parties' appearances, please. Who do we
17	have appearing today on behalf of Petitioner?
18	MR. STACY: Wayne Stacy for Cisco and with me is
19	Sarah Guske. Here at the table is Britt Davis.
20	JUDGE TARTAL: Good afternoon, counsel.
21	And now for Patent Owner, who do we have appearing
22	today?

3

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# Cases IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276 Patents RE42,368 and RE42,678

1 MR. EISENBERG: Jason Eisenberg for Patent Owner. 2 With me at counsel's table is Robert Greene Sterne. We also have 3 Nicholas Nowak here with us. 4 JUDGE TARTAL: Thank you and welcome. We set forth the procedures for today's hearing in the 5 6 trial order. As a reminder, each party will have 60 minutes of total time to present arguments in both cases. Petitioner has the 7 8 burden of proof and will go first. 9 The parties have agreed in advance that Petitioner will 10 open jointly for both cases. The Patent Owner will then present 11 opposition arguments jointly for both cases. And then to the 12 extent that Petitioner has reserved time, Petitioner will present 13 arguments and reply jointly for both cases. 14 The parties must at all times make clear which case they 15 are discussing so that we can maintain a clear transcript. Also for 16 clarity in the transcript, when you refer to an exhibit on the 17 screen, please state for the record the exhibit and page number or for demonstratives the slide number to which you are referring. 18 19 We are aware that Patent Owner has filed objections to 20 Petitioner's demonstrative slides 2 to 23 or, rather, 3 to 23. We 21 reviewed the demonstratives and we are not going to rule on the 22 objections at this point. Petitioner is permitted to present the 23 slides as it chooses. Both parties are reminded that demonstrative slides are 24

24 Bour parties are remniedd that demonstrative shdes ar25 not evidence and will not be relied upon for a final decision.

4

# Cases IPR2014-01166 and IPR2014-01276 Patents RE42,368 and RE42,678

Moreover, arguments raised for the first time during this hearing
or in a demonstrative will not be given weight in our final written
decision.

4 We remind each party that under no circumstances are 5 they to interrupt the other party while that party is presenting its 6 arguments and demonstratives. If a party wants to spend time 7 today arguing that a demonstrative or argument presented by the 8 other party is objectionable for any reason, that objection may be 9 voiced only during the objecting party's argument time. For example, if Patent Owner wants to address an 10 11 objection to any slide presented or argument made by Petitioner, 12 Patent Owner may only voice that objection during Patent 13 Owner's allotted time to speak. If there's an issue with a 14 demonstrative or argument presented by the final party to speak, 15 the concerned party may request the opportunity to address its 16 objection before we adjourn the hearing. 17 Are there any questions on behalf of the Patent Owner at this time? 18 19 MR. EISENBERG: No questions, Your Honor. 20 JUDGE TARTAL: Are there any questions on behalf 21 of Petitioner at this time? 22 MR. STACY: No. 23 JUDGE TARTAL: Petitioner, would you like to 24 reserve a certain amount of time for a reply? 25 MR. STACY: Yes. I was going to reserve 20.

5

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.