IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Applicant:	Arling, et. al) Universal Remote Control, Inc.
Case No.:	IPR2014-01146)) v.
Filing Date:	09/29/2009) Universal Electronics, Inc.
Patent No.:	8,243,207) Trial Paralegal: Amy Kattula
Title:	System and Method For Activity Based Configuration of an Entertainment System) Attny Doc.: 059489.144400)

PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN INADMISSIBLE TESTIMONY OF PATENT OWNER'S EXPERT ALEX COOK

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 2001 Mohawk Resources Ltd. V. Vehicle Service Group, LLC, Case IPR2014-00464, Paper 10 (Aug. 29, 2014)
- Ex. 2002 Edmund Optics, Inc. v. Semrock, Inc., Case IPR2014-00583, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 19, 2014)
- Ex. 2003 3D-Matrix, Ltd. v. Menicon Co., Case IPR2014-00398, Paper No. 11 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 1, 2014)
- Ex. 2004 *Eizo Corp. v. Barco N.V.*, IPR2014-00358, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. July 23, 2014)
- Ex. 2005 *Moses Lake Indus., Inc. v. Enthone, Inc.*, IPR2014-00243, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. June 18, 2014)
- Ex. 2006 *Moses Lake Indus., Inc. v. Enthone, Inc.*, IPR2014-00246, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. June 18, 2014)
- Ex. 2007 *eBay, Inc. v. Paid, Inc.*, CBM2014-00125, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 30, 2014)
- Ex. 2008 Synopsis v. Mentor Graphics Corp., IPR2012-00042, Paper No. 16 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 22, 2013)
- Ex. 2009 Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC v. AutoAlert, Inc., IPR2013-00222, Paper No. 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 12, 2013)
- Ex. 2010 SAS Institute, Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC, IPR2013-00581, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 30, 2013)
- Ex. 2011 Heart Failure Techs., LLC v. CardioKinetix, Inc., IPR2013-00183, Paper No. 12 (P.T.A.B. July 31, 2013)
- Ex. 2012-2016 INTENTIONALLY SKIPPED
- Ex. 2017 Trial Transcript from *Universal Electronics, Inc. v. Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, No. 8:12-cv-00329-AG-JPR (C.D. Cal.), Dkt. No. 398-1



- Ex. 2018 Universal Remote Control, Inc.'s ("URC's") Initial Disclosures from *Universal Electronics, Inc. v. Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, No. 8:12-cv-00329-AG-JPR (C.D. Cal.)
- Ex. 2019 URC's Response to UEI's Interrogatory at No. 6 from *Universal Electronics, Inc. v. Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, No. 8:12-cv-00329-AG-JPR (C.D. Cal.)
- Ex. 2020 Ohsung Website Printout, available at http://www.ohsungec.com/02 affli/02 foreign/06.aspx.
- Ex. 2021 URC's Amended Initial Disclosures from *Universal Electronics, Inc.* v. *Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, No. 8:12-cv-00329-AG-JPR (C.D. Cal.)
- Ex. 2022 Defendant Ohsung Electronics, USA, Inc.'s Answer to Second Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 76, from *Universal Electronics Inc.*, v. *Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, Ohsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Ohsung Electronics U.S.A., Inc., Case No. SACV 13-00984 AG (JPRx) (C.D. Cal.)
- Ex. 2023 LinkedIn Profile of Jak You, available at https://www.linkedin.com/pub/jak-you/92/8a5/6b.
- Ex. 2024 09/05/2013 M. Hurley Email to L. Kenneally
- Ex. 2025 Amended Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition to URC from *Universal Electronics, Inc. v. Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, No. 8:12-cv-00329-AG-JPR (C.D. Cal.)
- Ex. 2026 Joint Stipulation Staying Action Pending Petitions for *Inter Partes*Review of All Asserted Claims, Dkt. No. 87 from *Universal*Electronics Inc., v. Universal Remote Control, Inc., Ohsung
 Electronics Co., Ltd., and Ohsung Electronics U.S.A., Inc., Case No.
 SACV 13-00984 AG (JPRx) (C.D. Cal.)
- Ex. 2027 Joint Statement of the Parties Pursuant to Order Staying Action (ECF No. 88) and Joint Request to Continue Status Conference, Dkt. No. 102 from *Universal Electronics Inc.*, v. *Universal Remote Control*,



	Inc., Ohsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Ohsung Electronics U.S.A. Inc., Case No. SACV 13-00984 AG (JPRx) (C.D. Cal.)
Ex. 2028	URC NY Secretary of State, Division of Corporations, Entity Information Website Printout
Ex. 2029	Declaration of Alex Cook
Ex. 2030	Transcript of 07/22/2015 Cross-Examination of James T. Geier



I. INTRODUCTION

The Board should deny Petitioner's Motion to Exclude (Paper No. 26) because Petitioner has failed to meet its burden to show that any evidence is inadmissible. Specifically, with respect to the redirect examination of UEI's expert, Mr. Cook, Petitioner has not provided any analysis why any of Patent Owner's counsel's redirect questions is leading. Instead, Petitioner concludes without support that the Board should simply disregard all challenged questions and answers, presumably because they confirm the validity of the challenged claims.

II. LEGAL STANDARDS

A motion to exclude evidence must explain *why* the cited evidence is not admissible. *Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.*, CBM2012-00002, Paper 66, at 61 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 23, 2014) (citing 77 Fed. Reg. 48765, 48767 (Aug. 14, 2012)). The motion to exclude must also: (a) identify where in the record the objection was originally made; (b) identify where in the record the evidence sought to be excluded was relied upon by an opponent; (c) address objections to exhibits in numerical order; and (d) explain each objection. *Id*.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

