

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re Patent of: Paul D. Arling and

Patrick H. Hayes

Patent No.: 8,243,207

Filed: September 29, 2009

Issued: August 14, 2012

Assignee: Universal Electronics Inc.

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
ACTIVITY BASED
CONFIGURATION OF AN
ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEM

Universal Remote Control, Inc.

v.

Universal Electronics, Inc.

Case No. IPR2014-01146

Trial Paralegal: Amy Kattula

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Certificate of Filing: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the USPTO on this 24th day of June, 2015

By: /Jeannie Ngai/
Jeannie Ngai

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION1
- II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION1
 - A. Broadest Reasonable Interpretation.....1
 - B. Claim Terms For Construction.....2
 - 1. “Device” v. “Appliance”2
 - 2. “activation key”2
 - 3. “configuration of the entertainment device”3
- III. Claims 13-15 of the ‘207 Patent Are Anticipated By Dubil8
 - A. Claim 13.....8
 - 1. Dubil discloses the “configuration of the entertainment device”8
 - 2. Dubil discloses associating “a command value corresponding to an activity key of a controlling device with a configuration of the entertainment”10
 - 3. Dubil discloses “causing the entertainment device to access and use the configuration associated with the command value corresponding to the activity key of the controlling device in response to the entertainment device receiving from the controlling device a signal which includes the command value corresponding to the activity key of the controlling device.”...11
 - 4. Dubil discloses “downloading the configuration of the entertainment device... into the entertainment device from a computing device in communication with the entertainment device”12
 - B. Claim 14.....13
 - 1. Dubil discloses a “configuration of the entertainment device”.....13
 - 2. Dubil discloses “receiving at the entertainment device from a controlling device a configuration request signal, wherein the configuration request signal includes a command value corresponding to an activity key of a controlling device”14

3. Dubil discloses “causing the command value corresponding to the activity key of the controlling device included in the configuration request signal to be automatically associated with a configuration of the entertainment device.”15

C. Claim 15.....16

III. Conclusion17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed Cir 1988).....	2
<i>Philips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	2, 7
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. §42.100(b)	1
M.P.E.P. 2111.01	1, 6

EXHIBIT LIST

- 1001* U.S. Patent No. 8,243,207 (filed September 29, 2009) (issued August 14, 2012) to Paul D. Arling and Patrick H. Hayes.
- 1002* Prosecution history of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 12/569,161, which matured into the '207 patent.
- 1003* Declaration of Jim Geier, In Support of the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. patent No. 8,243,207
- 1004* First Amended Complaint for patent Infringement in *Universal Electronics Inc. v. Universal Remote Control, Inc.*, Civil Action No. SACV 13-00984, dated July 22, 2013
- 1005* U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0120831 (filed December 20, 2001) (published June 26, 2003) to Thomas Dubil et al.
- 1006* "IntelliControl Reference Manual" Version. 8.1, April 2002 by Niles Audio Corporation.
- 1007* U.S. Patent No. 4,527,204 (filed February 14, 1983) (issued July 2, 1985) to Daisuke Kozakai.
- 1008-1045 INTENTIONALLY SKIPPED
- 1046 Amended Notice of Deposition Of Alex Cook in Case No. IPR2014-1146 (Paper 19)
- 1047 Decision Institution of *Inter Partes* Review in Case No IPR2014-1146 (Paper 9)(January 9, 2015)
- 1048-1053 INTENTIONALLY SKIPPED
- 1054 Transcript of June 17, 2015 Deposition of Alex Cook
- 1055 Reply Declaration of James T. Geier

* Previously filed.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.