

trials@uspto.gov

571-272-7822

IPR2014-01145, Paper No. 23

IPR2014-01150, Paper No. 33

IPR2014-01159, Paper No. 31

December 22, 2015

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ATOPTECH, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SYNOPSIS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2014-01145 (Patent 6,237,127)

Case No. IPR2014-01150 (Patent 6,567,967)

Case No. IPR2014-01159 (Patent 6,567,967)

Technology Center 2800

Oral Hearing Held on Friday, November 13, 2015

BEFORE: TRENTON A. WARD; PETER P. CHEN (via audio link only); and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS (via video link);
Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, November 13, 2015, at 1:04 p.m., in Hearing Room B, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JEFFREY A. MILLER, ESQ.
KATIE J.L. SCOTT, ESQ.
Kaye Scholer LLP
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 400
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, California 94306-2112
650-319-4500

DAVID SOOFIAN, ESQ.
Kaye Scholer LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, New York 10019-9710

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

DAVID B. COCHRAN, ESQ.
JOSEPH M. SAUER, ESQ.
Jones Day
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190
216-586-3939

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: (Continued)

KRISTA S. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
Jones Day
555 California Street
26th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
415-626-3939

Shanee Nelson, Synopsys Representative

Case No. IPR2014-01145 (Patent 6,237,127)
Case No. IPR2014-01150 (Patent 6,567,967)
Case No. IPR2014-01159 (Patent 6,567,967)

P R O C E E D I N G S

(1:04 p.m.)

JUDGE WARD: Good afternoon. You may be seated. Welcome to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. We are gathered for a hearing in three Inter Partes Review matters this afternoon, related cases.

The matter numbers for these cases are IPR2014-1145, 1150 and 1159, cases in which ATopTech, Incorporated is the Petitioner and Synopsys is the Patent Owner.

On the panel for the hearing today are my two colleagues in our San Jose regional office, Matt Clements, Judge Clements you see on the screen here, and then hopefully joining us also by audio is Judge Peter Chen.

Judge Chen, can you hear us? Judge Clements, I don't know if you have any indication as to whether or not Judge Chen is successfully receiving audio.

Judge Clements, can you hear and see us clearly? Judge Clements, good afternoon, or good morning to you, I guess. Can you see and hear us clearly?

JUDGE CLEMENTS: Good morning. Yes, I can.

JUDGE WARD: Excellent. And, Judge Chen, are you also able to hear us? I understand your video is not working for you.

Case No. IPR2014-01145 (Patent 6,237,127)

Case No. IPR2014-01150 (Patent 6,567,967)

Case No. IPR2014-01159 (Patent 6,567,967)

1 JUDGE CHEN: Yes. Thank you, Judge Ward.
2 Technical difficulties on the video but the audio is coming
3 through fine. Thank you.

4 JUDGE WARD: Excellent. Yes, we hear you loud
5 and clear here in the hearing room. So feel free to interrupt
6 us at any time.

7 My inability to communicate with counsel there
8 will be an illustrative point to the attorneys this afternoon.
9 Please make sure that, to the extent you are making any
10 comments, you make them at the podium because anything
11 that is not said into the microphone won't be heard by my
12 colleagues, Judge Clements and Judge Chen, in our San Jose
13 office.

14 So I would like to start by getting the appearances
15 of counsel from each side, starting with Petitioner, if you
16 could. Go ahead and step up to the microphone.

17 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. This is
18 Jeffrey Miller from Kaye Scholer. I'm going to be arguing on
19 behalf of ATopTech on the 1145 matter, the '127 patent. And
20 with me is my colleague David Soofian.

21 JUDGE WARD: Thank you, Mr. Miller and
22 Mr. Soofian. Welcome to both of you.

23 MS. SCOTT: And my name is Katie Scott, also
24 from Kaye Scholer on behalf of the Petitioner, and I will be
25 arguing in the 1150 and 1159 matters.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.