

Case IPR2014-01142
Patent 7,917,843

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,

Petitioners,

v.

Arendi S.A.R.L.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01142
Patent 7,917,843

**ARENDI'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JOINDER
FILED BY SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND 1

 A. Samsung Seeks to Add its *Inter Partes* Review to the Apple IPR 1

III. GROUND FOR JOINDER..... 3

 A. Joinder Would Introduce Subject Matter that Falls Outside the Scope of Inter Partes Review 5

 B. Joinder Would Effectively Introduce New Grounds into the Apple IPR..... 7

 C. Joinder Would Prejudice Arendi 8

 D. Joinder Would Add Significant Complexity, Delay and Cost to the Apple IPR, Thereby Unduly Delaying Its Resolution 8

 i. Joinder Would Introduce New Evidence 8

 ii. Joinder Would Expand and Complicate Discovery 10

 iii. Joinder Would Disrupt the Schedule of the Apple IPR, Leading to Undue Delays in Reaching Final Decision 11

 iv. Samsung has Improperly Numbered the Exhibits for its IPR..... 12

IV. Conclusion 13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., et al., Case No. 1:2012cv01598
(D. Del.) 1
Arthrex, Inc. v. Bonutti Skeletal Innovations LLC, IPR2013-00632, Paper No. 23 . 4
Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc., IPR2013-00385, Paper No. 17 9
Microsoft Corp. v. Proxycorr, Inc., IPR2013-00109, Paper No. 15 3, 4
Motorola Mobility LLC v. SoftView LLC, IPR2013-00256, Paper No. 10..... 9
NetApp, Inc. v. PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC & Level 3 Communications, IPR
2013-00319, Paper No. 15 3

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102 5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 103 5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 311(b) 5

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)..... 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)..... 10

I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner Arendi S.A.R.L. (“Arendi”) respectfully requests that the Board deny Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC’s (“Samsung”) motion requesting joinder of IPR2014-01142 (“Samsung IPR”) with IPR2014-00208 (“Apple IPR”). The Samsung IPR and the Apple IPR each concern U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843 (“the ’843 patent”).

The Board should deny Samsung’s motion because joinder will incorporate subject matter that forms an improper basis for challenging a patent via *inter partes* review, require a burdensome amount of additional discovery and expert testimony, and prevent a timely conclusion of the Apple IPR.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Samsung Seeks to Add its *Inter Partes* Review to the Apple IPR

On December 4, 2012, Arendi served a complaint against Samsung alleging that certain Samsung products infringe certain claims of the ’843 patent. *See* Ex.2001, *Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 1:2012cv01598 (D. Del.).

On December 2, 2013, Apple Inc., Google Inc., and Motorola Mobility LLC filed a request for *inter partes* review of the claims of the ’843 patent. With this

Case IPR2014-01142
Patent 7,917,843

request, Apple submitted a Declaration of Dr. Daniel A. Menascé. At this time, Samsung did not take any actions against the '843 patent.

On June 11, 2014, the Board instituted an *inter partes* review solely on the ground of obviousness based on Pandit.

On July 11, 2014, Samsung served Arendi with a petition requesting *inter partes* review challenging the '843 Patent. The petition was accompanied by Samsung's motion for joinder with the Apple IPR. In support of its IPR, Samsung has submitted a substantial amount of new evidence to be examined and considered in its IPR. The petition includes a total of nine (9) exhibits, including the declaration and *curriculum vitae* of Dr. Paul Clark, an expert who was not used in the Apple IPR. Samsung hides new evidence inside the declaration of Clark. The declaration discusses unasserted and non-produced prior art including X Windows, Microsoft Mail and Microsoft Word 95 in an attempt to satisfy missing claim limitations of Pandit. An excerpt from Merriam-Webster's Collegiate® Dictionary, Tenth Edition, 1999 is also included as a new exhibit.

Given that Samsung filed its petition more than one year after it was served with a complaint alleging infringement of the '843 patent, denial of this motion will automatically require denial of its petition for an *inter partes* review. Should the Board find that the burdens imposed by the Samsung IPR are not sufficient to deny joinder at this time, it should consider Patent Owner's Preliminary Response,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.