

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

ARENDI S.A.R.L.,  
Patent Owner.

Case No. To Be Assigned  
Patent No. 7,917,843

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,917,843  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.***

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))</b>	- 1 -
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	- 1 -
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	- 1 -
C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	- 2 -
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	- 2 -
<b>II. FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)</b>	- 2 -
<b>III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104</b>	- 3 -
A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	- 3 -
B. Citation of Prior Art	- 3 -
C. Claims and Statutory Grounds (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(1) & (b)(2))	- 3 -
D. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3))	- 4 -
E. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	- 6 -
F. Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4))	- 6 -
G. Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5))	- 6 -
<b>IV. SUMMARY OF THE '843 PATENT</b>	- 7 -
A. Overview of the '843 Patent	- 7 -
B. Prosecution History Summary of the '843 Patent (Ex. 1002)	- 9 -
<b>V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '843 PATENT</b>	- 11 -
A. Prior Art - Pandit (U.S. Patent No. 5,859,636)	- 11 -

**B.** Ground I: Pandit Renders Obvious Claims 1, 2, 8, 14-17, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, 36-39, 42 and 43 Under § 103(a) ..... - 15 -

1. Method Claims ..... - 15 -

2. Computer Readable Medium Claims ..... - 29 -

**VI. CONCLUSION** ..... - 30 -

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843
Ex. 1002	Office Action in prosecution of '843 patent dated October 28, 2010
Ex. 1003	Applicant's response in prosecution of '843 patent dated December 8, 2010
Ex. 1004	Amendment in prosecution of '854 patent dated January 24, 2008
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,859,636 ("Pandit")
Ex. 1006	Decision Instituting <i>Inter Partes</i> Review, Case IPR2014-00208, Paper No. 8, June 11, 2014
Ex. 1007	Declaration of Dr. Paul Clark
Ex. 1008	<i>Curriculum vitae</i> of Dr. Paul Clark
Ex. 1009	Merriam-Webster's Collegiate® Dictionary, Tenth Edition, 1999, p. 242

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))**

**A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))**

The real parties in interest for this petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) are Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner”); Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC.

**B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))**

U.S. Patent No. 7,917,843 (“the ’843 Patent”) is currently the subject of litigation against multiple defendants in the District of Delaware, including the action captioned *Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC* (Civil Action No. 12-1598 (LPS); the “Samsung Litigation”). Other defendants in the Delaware litigations include LG Electronics, Inc. (C.A. No. 12-1595), Apple Inc. (C.A. No. 12-1596), Blackberry Limited (C.A. No. 12-1597), Nokia Corp. (C.A. No. 12-1599), HTC Corp. (C.A. No. 12-1600), Motorola Mobility LLC (C.A. No. 12-1601), Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. (C.A. No. 12-1602), Google Inc., (C.A. No. 13-0919), and Yahoo! Inc., (C.A. No. 13-0920).

The ’843 Patent is the subject of Case IPR2014-00208 that was instituted on June 11, 2014. A Motion for Joinder to IPR2014-00208 accompanies the present petition. The present proceeding seeks to institute trial on the sole ground that was instituted in IPR2014-00208. Petitioner is also seeking *Inter Partes* Review of U.S.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.