

Filed on behalf of Nidec Motor Corporation

IPR2014-01121

By: Scott R. Brown  
Matthew B. Walters  
HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP  
10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000  
Overland Park, Kansas 66210  
Tel: (913) 647-9050  
Fax: (913) 647-9057

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ZHONGSHAN BROAD OCEAN MOTOR CO., LTD.; BROAD OCEAN  
MOTOR, LLC; AND BROAD OCEAN TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
Petitioners

v.

NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2014-01121  
U.S. Patent No. 7,626,349

---

Before BENJAMIN D.M. WOOD, *Administrative Patent Judge*  
JAMES A. TARTAL, *Administrative Patent Judge*  
PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO SEAL**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54, Patent Owner Nidec Motor Corporation (“Nidec”)<sup>1</sup> hereby moves to seal Patent Owner’s Response and Exhibits 2004, 2005, 2007, 2010, and 2011. As detailed below, these papers contain highly confidential and extremely sensitive research, operations, sales, and financial information related to Nidec that Nidec has not and would never make publicly available.

Counsel for Petitioners and Nidec have agreed on the terms of a modified protective order relating to the use of confidential information and Exhibits in this proceeding. Specifically, the Default Protective Order has been amended to accommodate a second “Attorneys’ Eyes Only” provision to protect highly confidential material contained in the relevant Exhibits. Patent Owner has filed contemporaneously with this Motion to Seal the Stipulated Protective Order along with a redline showing how the Stipulated Protective Order differs from the Board’s Default Protective Order. Patent Owner requests that the Board enter the Stipulated Protective Order so that the small number of identified Exhibits may be designated “Attorneys’ Eyes Only.”

---

<sup>1</sup> Nidec Motor Corporation acquired the Motors & Controls division of Emerson Electric Company in the Fall of 2010. Thus, as used in this Motion, “Nidec” refers to confidential materials of Nidec Motor Corporation as well as confidential business materials of Emerson Electric Company’s Motors & Controls division.

**I. Good Cause Exits for Sealing Confidential Information In This Proceeding.**

The Board must find “good cause” exists to enter a protective order and must “strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties’ interest in protecting truly sensitive information.” *Garmin v. Cuozzo*, IPR2012-00001, Paper 36 (April 5, 2013). *See also* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012). The Board identifies “confidential information in a manner consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” 77 Fed. Reg. at 78760 (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.54).

Beyond the confidential designation available in the Default Protective Order, the “Attorneys’ Eyes Only” provision mirrors the provision of the protective order entered in related district court litigation and is necessary to protect highly confidential design, development, testing, and financial information, the disclosure of which would cause significant competitive harm. Patent Owner relies on this detailed financial information to establish objective indications of commercial success to demonstrate the claimed invention is non-obvious.

This type of highly confidential information has been—and will continue to

be—designated as Attorneys’ Eyes Only in the co-pending litigation and Petitioner’s foreign counsel and employees have not—and will not—have access to this information under the protective order in that case. Detailed design, development, testing, and financial-related information would typically never be provided to Petitioner’s foreign counsel, Petitioner’s employees, or the public in any context. Disclosure of such highly confidential information would significantly harm Nidec’s competitive position in the market because it would allow a direct competitor access to some of the most sensitive design, development, testing, and financial information available from Nidec, an unnecessary and dangerous precedent. Indeed, the Supreme Court has noted that adjudicative bodies should not permit their files to serve “as sources of business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing.” *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978).

Accordingly, Nidec respectfully requests that the Board enter the attached Stipulated Protective Order to protect against the public disclosure of the following information:

<b>Materials to be Sealed as “Confidential” or “Attorneys’ Eyes Only”</b>		
<b>Paper / Exhibit</b>	<b>Contents</b>	<b>Good Cause</b>
	Patent Owner’s	<b><u>Contains Confidential Financial and</u></b>

	Response	<b><u>Market Share Information</u></b> —This document contains non-public information analyzing Nidec sales of products practicing the invention claimed in the '349 patent as well as market share data for Nidec relating to the commercial success of the products incorporating the technology claimed in the '349 patent.
Ex. 2004	Goodman Business Alignment & Technology Review	<b><u>Contains Confidential Design and Development Information</u></b> —This document contains non-public information relating to Nidec, including design, development, and testing details, including technological roadmaps and comparisons of Nidec technology to competitive technologies.
Ex. 2005	Variable Speed Motor Program Update	<b><u>Contains Confidential Design and Development Information</u></b> —This document contains non-public information relating to Nidec, including design, development, and testing details, including technological

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.