# Petitioner's Trial Presentation

Inter Partes Reviews


IPR2014-01102, -01103, -01104 & -01106

of the "Darbee Patents"

5,228,077; 5,552,917; 5,414,761; 5,255,313


Oral Hearing Aug. 19, 2015

## The Darbee Patents Claim Priority to the '810 Patent



United States Patent [19] Darbee et al.				[11]	Patent Number: Date of Patent:			5,552,917 Sep. 3, 1996		
[54] [75]				216 213 219 221:	5002 5322 5177 2743 5928 9024	3/1984 4/1986 9/1986 10/1987 9/1989 9/1990	United Kingdom . United Kingdom . United Kingdom . United Kingdom . United Kingdom . United Kingdom .			
[73] [21] [22]	Assignee: Appl. No.:	Universal Electron Ohio	Division of Ser. No. 93,512, Jul. 16, 1993, which is a continuation of Ser. No. 586,957, Sep. 24, 1990, which is a division of Ser. No. 127,999, Dec. 2, 1987, Pat. No. 4,959, 810, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 109,336, Oct. 14, 1987, abandoned.							

The '917 patent is a continuation of the '810 patent



The '077, '313, and '761 patents are continuation-in-part of the '810 patent

# Patent Owner Applied the Same Analysis to all the Darbee Patents

The '917 patent will be used as representative of the issues for the three other Darbee patents (unless expressly shown otherwise).

See Cook Deposition, Ex. 1053, e.g., at 333:24-334:4 (re '077), 334:19-336:20 (re '761 & '313), 403:24-404:5 (re '077), 406:16-25 (re '761), 409:24-410:17 (re '313).

United States Patent [19] Darbee et al.				[11] [45]	Patent Number: Date of Patent:			5,552,917 Sep. 3, 1996	
[54]	REMOTE	CONTROL			6002 6322	3/1984 4/1986	United Kingdon United Kingdon	١.	
[75]	Inventors:			219 221	2743 1 5928	9/1986 10/1987 9/1989 9/1990	United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom United Kingdom	1. 1.	
[73]	Assignee:	Universal Electron Ohio	continuation of Se	r. No.	586,	957,	Sep. 24, 199	00, which is a	
[21]	Appl. No.:	314,970	division of Ser. No. 127,999, Dec. 2, 1987, Pat. No. 4,959, 810, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 109,336,						
[22]	Filed:	Sep. 29, 1994	Oct. 14, 1987, ab			· p	art of Box.	110. 105,550,	

Q. Okay. So to the extent we've had testimony on the term code data for the '917 patent, that would apply to the construction of code data for the '077 patent, correct? A. Yes.

Ex. 1053 at 333:24-334:4

Q. ... To the extent we've had testimony about the Ciarcia reference and how it distinguishes from the '917 patent, that testimony would apply equally to the '077 patents, correct? A. Yes.

Ex. 1053 at 403:24-404:5

## Representative Claim 1 of the '917 Patent

- 1. A remote control comprising
- input means including a set of keys or pushbuttons for inputting commands to the remote control,
- infrared signal output means for supplying an infrared signal to a controlled device including IR lamp driver circuitry,
- a central processing unit (CPU) coupled to said input means and to said signal output means,
- memory means coupled to said CPU, <u>code data</u> for generating infrared codes stored in said memory means, and
- two-way data coupling means coupled to said CPU for enabling at least one of instruction codes or of infrared <u>code data</u> for generating infrared codes to be supplied from outside said remote control through said two-way data coupling means directly to said CPU for entry into said memory means to enable a user of the remote control to operate a selected controlled device upon inputting commands to the remote control by depressing selected keys of the remote control and to be transmitted from said remote control through said two-way data coupling means to a computer.

Patent Owner argues that "code data" is missing from the prior art (Ciarcia).

'917 POR at 13-15, Pet. Reply at 10 & 12, Ex. 1053 (Cook Dep.) at 361:25-362:7.


## **Code Data Was in the Prior Art**

The Darbee patents expressly describe that "code data" was in the prior art.

See Pet. Reply at 5 and '917 patent at 8:58-62. See also id. at FIG. 11 (which is a copy of FIG. 1 of 4,623,887 to Welles).

"The *code data* for the infrared codes may be obtained from *vendor information sheets and specifications*, can be determined using the methods disclosed in *U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,623,887 and 4,626,848*, or by the method disclosed herein."

'917 patent at 8:58-62. (Emphasis added.)



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

