## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re Patent of: Darbee

Universal Remote Control, Inc.

**Patent No.:** 5,255,313

v.

**Filed:** Apr. 8, 1993

Universal Electronics, Inc.

**Issued:** Oct. 19, 1993

Case No. IPR2014-01106

**Assignee:** Universal Electronics Inc.

Trial Paralegal: Cathy Underwood

**Title:** UNIVERSAL REMOTE

CONTROL SYSTEM

## PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64

Certificate of Filing: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the USPTO on this 31st day of July, 2015.

By: /Jeannie Ngai/ Jeannie Ngai



#### I. Introduction

Petitioner opposes Patent Owner's motion to exclude the datasheet for an Intel 8254 Programmable Interval Timer (Ex. 1043) and pages 14–15 of Petitioner's Reply. See Paper 30. Patent Owner argues that the datasheet should be excluded because it is irrelevant under Fed. R. Evid. 401. Patent Owner reasons that the datasheet is irrelevant because it was not incorporated by reference into the Ciarcia reference and was not a basis for institution of this trial. Patent Owner's reasoning is misguided. The datasheet is relevant because it is extrinsic evidence that proves the understanding skilled artisans would have had of material which is inherent in the disclosure of the Ciarcia reference, and thus proves Ciarcia contains the claim limitation "code data."

### II. Legal Authority

"Evidence is relevant if: (a) it has *any* tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence; and (b) the fact is of consequence in determining the action." Fed. R. Evid. 401 (emphasis added).

"To serve as an anticipation when the reference is silent about the asserted inherent characteristic, such gap in the reference may be filled with recourse to extrinsic evidence. Such evidence must make clear that the missing descriptive matter is necessarily present in the thing described in the reference, and that it would be so recognized by persons of ordinary skill." *Emi Grp. North Am. v.* 

{01789921.1} - 1 -



Cypress Semiconductor Corp., 268 F.3d 1342, 1350–51 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (quoting Continental Can Co. v. Monsanto Co., 948 F.2d 1264, 1268 (Fed. Cir. 1991)); Santarus, Inc. v. Par Pharm., Inc., 694 F.3d 1344, 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (affirming a finding of obviousness based on an inherent property of an obvious combination).

### III. Background

Patent Owner's Response for the first time construed the claim term "code data" as "instructions and timing information," and argued that, under that construction, Ciarcia did not disclose "code data." Paper 14 at 13. To be conservative, Petitioner replied that even under Patent Owner's incorrect construction of the term "code data," that element was disclosed in the reference: Steve Ciarcia, Build a Trainable Infrared Master Controller, BYTE magazine (March 1987) ("Ciarcia"). Paper 23 (Petitioner's Reply) at 14–15.

Specifically, Petitioner pointed out that one of Ciarcia's electronic components, an 8254 Programmable Interval Timer (an "8254 PIT"), "is programmed to generate the IR signals, and the corresponding 'times are stored in external data RAM." Paper 23 at 14–15. The 8254 datasheet explains expressly the details of this programming which were well known to skilled artisans at the time, including the instructions and timing information which are used to program the 8254 PIT, which include, for example, "control words." Ex. 1043 (8254)



{01789921.1}

Datasheet) at 3-66 and 3-67. The datasheet's disclosure thus proved that "instructions and timing information" were inherent in Ciarcia and this inherent disclosure was recognized by skilled artisans at the time (who could have read the datasheet). Id.

#### IV. **Analysis**

The datasheet is relevant to whether or not, under Patent Owner's claim construction, Ciarcia includes the "code data" claim limitation. Patent Owner admits that Ciarcia uses an 8254 PIT. See Ex. 1005 (Ciarcia) at 118; Paper 31 at 3. Even laypersons—not to mention persons of skill in the art—are aware that electronics come with manuals. Here, the manual for the 8254 PIT is termed a "datasheet." See Ex. 1043. While Ciarcia does not reprint each datasheet for each electronic component used in the Ciarcia device, that does not mean the details of those electronic components must be forever lost in mystery. Indeed, the 8254 datasheet was well known to skilled artisans at the time and even Patent Owner's expert has admitted that he used the 8254 datasheet for designs. See Ex. 1053 at 371:16–19 ("Q. Have you ever seen the data sheet for the 8254 prior to today? A. Yes. I've used the 8254 in designs where I've designed and wrote code.").

Where a prior art reference is silent as to a given characteristic of the prior art, the reference can still disclose that characteristic to skilled artisans if it is inherently present, and that inherency can be proven with extrinsic evidence. See - 3 -{01789921.1}



Emi, 268 F.3d at 1350–51. Here, the datasheet explains the operation of the 8254 PIT. See Ex. 1005 (Ciarcia) at 118 ("An 8254 programmable interval timer provides the high-speed logic required to generate signals with microsecond timing resolution."); Ex. 1043 at 3-67 (explaining the "Write Operations"). Thus, the datasheet is relevant because it specifies the inherent properties of the Ciarcia reference, and thus proves the disclosure of the claim term "code data," as construed by Patent Owner, in Ciarcia.

Patent Owner argues the datasheet is irrelevant because it was not included in the institution decision as a basis for invalidity. Patent Owner cites no authority holding that evidence not included in the institution decision as a basis for invalidity is categorically inadmissible. Indeed, if that were so, then all of the evidence Patent Owner has submitted that was not included in the institution decision as a basis for invalidity would also be inadmissible.

Patent Owner cites *Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.*, 576 F.3d 1331, 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2009), as allegedly supporting Patent Owner's arguments. *Callaway* is inapposite because that case dealt with incorporation by reference, which is not at issue here. Whether the datasheet was incorporated by reference into Ciarcia is an issue that is itself irrelevant. The datasheet is relevant because it proves the inherent content of Ciarcia. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401 (evidence is relevant if it has *any* tendency to make a fact more or less probable).

{01789921.1} - 4 -



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

