

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re Patent of: Darbee

Patent No.: 5,255,313

Filed: April 8, 1993

Issued: October 19, 1993

Assignee: Universal Electronics Inc.

Title: UNIVERSAL REMOTE
CONTROL SYSTEM

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review under
35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319
and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq.

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,255,313

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT LIST iv

I. MANDATORY NOTICES1

A. Real Party-In-Interest1

B. Related Matters.....1

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel2

D. Service Information3

II. PAYMENT OF FEES3

III. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW.....3

A. Grounds For Standing.....4

B. Identification of Challenge.....4

1. Claims for which *inter partes* review is requested.....4

2. The specific art and statutory grounds on which the challenge is based5

3. How the challenged claims are to be construed6

4. How the construed claims are unpatentable under the statutory grounds identified in 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2) .7

5. Supporting evidence relied upon to support the challenge7

IV. SUMMARY OF THE '313 PATENT8

A. Summary Of The Prosecution History of the '313 Patent (Ex. 1003)11

B. Summary Of The Prosecution History of the Parent '077 Patent (Ex. 1004)12

V. DETAILED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....13

A. Construction of Terms.....13

VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '313 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE19

A. Claims 1, 2, and 20 are obvious over Wozniak (Ex. 1005), the CS-232 Manual (Ex. 1008), and Hastreiter (Ex. 1006).....	19
B. Claims 1, 2, and 20 are obvious over Ciarcia (Ex. 1007) and Hastreiter (Ex. 1006).....	21
VII. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	22
A. Summary of Prior Art	23
1. U.S. Patent No. 4,918,439 to Wozniak et al. (“Wozniak,” Ex. 1005).....	23
2. CORE Serial Interface (CS-232) Manual (“CS-232 Manual,” Ex. 1008)	25
3. “Build a Trainable Infrared Master Controller,” by Steve Ciarcia, BYTE March 1987 at pp. 113-123 (“Ciarcia” - Ex. 1007)	26
4. U.S. Patent No. 4,667,181 to Hastreiter (“Hastreiter,” Ex. 1006)	28
B. Detailed Grounds for Unpatentability Arguments.....	29
1. Ground 1: Claims 1, 2, and 20 of the ’313 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over Wozniak (Ex. 1005) in view of the CS-232 Manual (Ex. 1008) and Hastreiter (Ex. 1006) under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	29
2. Ground 2: Claims 1, 2, and 20 of the ’313 Patent are unpatentable as obvious over Ciarcia in view of Hastreiter under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	47
VIII. CONCLUSION	59

EXHIBIT LIST

1001. U.S. Patent No. 5,255,313 (filed Apr. 8, 1993) (issued Oct. 19, 1993)
to Paul V. Darbee
1002. U.S. Patent No. 5,228,077 (filed Sep. 24, 1990) (issued Jul. 13, 1993)
to Paul V. Darbee
1003. Prosecution history of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/046,105,
which matured into the '313 Patent
1004. Prosecution history of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 07/587,326,
which matured into the '077 Patent
1005. U.S. Patent No. 4,918,439 (filed Oct. 5, 1988) (issued Apr. 17, 1990)
to Wozniak et al.
1006. U.S. Patent No. 4,667,181 (filed Jul. 15, 1983) (issued May 19, 1987)
to James Hastreiter.
1007. "Build a Trainable Infrared Master Controller," by Steve Ciarcia,
BYTE March 1987 at pp. 113-123
1008. "CORE Serial Interface (CS-232) Manual" revision 3.0, Copyright @
1987, 1988 by CL9
1009. U.S. Patent No. 4,959,810 (filed Dec. 2, 1987) (issued Sep. 25, 1990)
to Darbee et al.
1010. CORE Reference Manual © 1987 CL9

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 5,255,313

1011. Declaration of Stephen D. Bristow In Support of the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 5,255,313 (“Bristow Declaration”)
1012. Complaint for Patent Infringement in *Universal Electronics, Inc. v. Universal Remote Control Inc.*, Civil Action No. SACV 13-00984, filed June 28, 2013 (“Current UEI Litigation”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.