

January 26, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. be continued to March 9, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., for the reasons provided below.

A. <u>Status of PTO Inter Partes Review Proceedings Involving the Patents-In-Suit</u>

Pursuant to the Stay Order, the parties report that all asserted claims of the ten UEI patents-in-suit were subject to ten petitions for *inter partes* review ("IPR") filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO"). As the following chart demonstrates, the PTO Patent & Trademark Appeal Board ("PTAB") instituted review with respect to all asserted claims in five of the asserted patents; instituted review with respect to some, but not all, asserted claims in two of the asserted patents; and did not institute any review with respect to the asserted claims of the remaining three patents.

Asserted Patent	IPR Docket No.	Decision on Petition
5,228,077 to Darbee (expired)	IPR2014-01102	Instituted (all asserted claims)
5,552,917 to Darbee (expired)	IPR2014-01103	Instituted (all asserted claims)
5,414,761 to Darbee (expired)	IPR2014-01104	Instituted (all asserted claims)
5,255,313 to Darbee (expired)	IPR2014-01106	Instituted (all asserted claims)
7,831,930 to Dresti	IPR2014-01109	Instituted (all asserted claims)
7,126,468 to Arling	IPR2014-01084	Instituted (instituted as to claims 27, 28, 33, 35, 45, and 49; not instituted as to claims 1, 2, 11, 29, and 46)
8,243,207 to Arling	IPR2014-01146	Instituted (instituted as to claims 13–15; not instituted as to claim 12)
7,589,642 to Mui	IPR2014-01082	Not instituted



6,407,779 to Herz	IPR2014-01111	Not instituted
RE39059 to Foster	IPR2014-01112	Not instituted

B. Parties' Statements Regarding Case Management

1. Statement of Plaintiff UEI

On January 9, 2015, the PTAB rendered its final decision on the petitions for IPR. UEI intends to seek rehearing with respect to at least one of the IPR decisions.

UEI and its new counsel are still evaluating the IPR decisions and, depending on the outcome of its rehearing request(s), may drop certain patents from this lawsuit, may seek to amend its complaint in other ways, or may stipulate to a further stay of this proceeding. To preserve judicial resources while UEI completes its analysis and awaits a ruling on its rehearing request(s), UEI requests that the Status Conference be continued until March 9, 2015. At that time, UEI expects to be in a position to inform the Court regarding its views on the case management including, without limitation, whether there is any need to continue the stay.

2. Statement of Defendants URC and Ohsung

Defendants have no objection to rescheduling the January 26, 2015 Status Conference to permit UEI and its new counsel additional time and for purposes of efficiency. Specifically, Defendants agree that the January 26, 2015 Status Conference should be rescheduled for March 9, 2015. At this rescheduled Status Conference, Defendants expect that the parties will be in a position to discuss the scope of the stay, any petitions for rehearing filed by UEI with respect to the IPRs, and the status of any such petitions.

C. <u>Date for Further Case Management Conference</u>

Pursuant to the Stay Order, the parties propose that any further Case

Management Conference should be held on April 27, 2015, after the parties have
received instructions from the Court at the rescheduled Status Conference on the
scope of the stay and other general case management issues. The parties agree that no



Case 8:13-cv-00984-AG-JPR Document 102 Filed 01/20/15 Page 4 of 7 Page ID #:640

later than seven (7) days prior to the Case Management Conference, the parties shall jointly file a Case Management Conference Statement. For avoidance of doubt, the parties understand that, pursuant to the Stay Order, the action remains stayed at least until the Case Management Conference has been held.



1 By: /s/ Mark A. Finkelstein By: /s/Peter H. Kang 2 Mark A. Finkelstein (SBN Peter H. Kang (Bar No. 158101) 3 173851) pkang@sidley.com JONES DAY 4 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 800 1001 Page Mill Road 5 Irvine, CA 92612-4408 Building 1 Tel: (949) 851-3939 6 Palo Alto, California 94304 Fax: (949) 553-78539 Telephone: (650) 565-7000 7 mafinkelstein@jonesday.com Facsimile: (650) 565-7100 8 Theodore M. Grossman (pro hac Samuel R. Miller (Bar No. 66871) 9 vice) srmiller@sidley.com 10 Teague I. Donahey (Bar No. 197531) David B. Cochran (pro hac vice) tdonahey@sidley.com Thomas R. Goots (pro hac vice) 11 JONES DAY SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 12 555 California Street North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue **Suite 2000** 13 Cleveland, OH 44114-1190 San Francisco, California 94104 14 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 tgrossman@jonesday.com dcochran@jonesday.com Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 15 trgoots@jonesday.com 16 Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. Attorneys for Plaintiff-219456) 17 Counterclaim Defendant tchandler@sidley.com UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, 18 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP INC. 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 19 Los Angeles, California 90013 20 Telephone: (213) 896-6000 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600 21 22 Attorneys for Defendants 23 UNIVERSAL REMOTE CONTROL, INC., OHSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., 24 LTD., and OHSUNG ELECTRONICS 25 U.S.A., INC. 26 27 28



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

