

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

3D-MATRIX, LTD,  
Petitioner,

v.

MENICON CO., LTD,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-00398  
Patent 8,299,032 B2

---

Before JACQUELINE WRIGHT BONILLA, DONNA M. PRAISS, and  
BRIAN P. MURPHY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MURPHY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.108*

## I. INTRODUCTION

On January 31, 2014, 3D-Matrix, Ltd. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 8,299,032 B2 (“the ’032 patent”). Paper 1 (“Pet.”). On May 9, 2014, Menicon Co., Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 9 (“Prelim. Resp.”). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted “unless . . . there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”

Petitioner challenges claims 1-8 of the ’032 patent as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103. Based on the information presented in the Petition, Preliminary Response, and cited exhibits, we are not persuaded there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in the Petition. On this record, we deny the Petition to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1-8 of the ’032 patent.

### A. *Related Proceedings*

The parties do not identify any related proceedings regarding the ’032 patent.

### B. *The ’032 Patent (Ex. 2001)*

The ’032 patent, titled “SELF-ASSEMBLING PEPTIDE AND GEL PRODUCED FROM THE SAME,” issued October 30, 2012, from a PCT application filed June 26, 2006. Ex. 2001. The self-assembling peptide described in the ’032 patent is comprised of polar and nonpolar amino acid residues, has a non-zero peptide charge at neutral pH, and forms a beta (β)-sheet structure in an aqueous solution. *Id.* at 1:49-60. The beta (β)-sheet has

one face of only nonpolar amino acid residues. *Id.* at 1:57-60. Self-assembling peptide gels are useful, for example, as scaffolds for three dimensional cell cultures. *Id.* at 2:67-3:3. The '032 patent recites several advantages resulting from the claimed peptide, including balanced electrostatic forces to prevent “excessive association,” transparency of scaffolds, ease of preparation, and beta ( $\beta$ )-sheet (membrane) stability. *Id.* at 2:33-67.

The '032 patent states that the charge of the self-assembling peptide is pH-dependent and can be calculated according to the method of Lehninger. *Id.* at 6:1-7. The calculation is typically executed using a computer program. *Id.* Table 8 of the '032 patent identifies nine exemplary peptides having SEQ ID Nos. 1-9, and Table 9 lists the charge for each peptide at pH 7.0, calculated according to the method of Lehninger.<sup>1</sup> *Id.* at 17:17-18:18. The calculated charges for SEQ ID Nos. 1-9 are all non-zero, namely +2, +3, or -2. *Id.* at 18:1-18.

Claim 1 of the '032 patent, the only independent challenged claim, is representative and reproduced below (emphasis added).

1. A self-assembling peptide comprising polar amino acid residues and nonpolar amino acid residues,  
wherein the self-assembling peptide consists of 12 to 32 amino acid residues, comprises one or more acidic amino acid residues and one or more basic amino acid residues as the polar amino acid residues,  
wherein *the sum of charge* of the acidic amino acid residue(s) and charge of the basic amino acid residue(s), when

---

<sup>1</sup> Lehninger is the author of a text book titled “Principles of Biochemistry,” referenced in the '032 patent as “Lehninger [*Biochemie*, 1979].” Ex. 2001, 6:3.

the self-assembling peptide is *in a neutral pH environment, is from -3 to -2 or +2 to +3*, wherein all of the amino acids in the self-assembling peptide *form a beta ( $\beta$ )-sheet structure* in which one face consists of only nonpolar amino acid residues upon self-assembly *in a neutral aqueous solution*, and wherein the nonpolar amino acid residues are selected from the group consisting of alanine, glycine, leucine, isoleucine, methionine, valine, phenylalanine, and tryptophan.

*C. Prior Art Relied Upon in the Petition*

Petitioner relies upon the following references:

Zhang II	US 5,670,483	Sept. 23, 1997	Ex. 1002
Agelli	WO 2004/007532 A2	Jan. 22, 2004	Ex. 1019
Altman	9 PROT. SCI. 1095-1105	2000	Ex. 1009
Dado	115 J. AM. CHEM. Soc. 12609-610	1993	Ex. 1004
Mira	4 BMC STRUC. BIO. 7-21	June 4, 2004	Ex. 1021
Yokoi	102 PNAS 8414-19	June 14, 2005	Ex. 1016
Zhang I	90 PNAS 3334-38	April 1993	Ex. 1001

*D. Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner asserts that the challenged claims are unpatentable based on the following grounds:

Reference[s]	Basis	Claims challenged
Dado	§ 102(b)	1-8
Altman	§ 102(b)	1-8
Zhang II, Yokoi, and Agelli	§ 103	1-8
Mira and Zhang I	§§ 102(b) and 103	1-8

## II. ANALYSIS

### A. Claim Construction

In an *inter partes* review, we construe claim terms according to their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the patent specification. 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b); Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012). Under the broadest reasonable interpretation standard, we assign claim terms their ordinary and customary meaning, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, in the context of the entire patent disclosure. *In re Translogic Tech., Inc.*, 504 F.3d 1249, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2007). Any special definition for a claim term must be set forth in the specification with reasonable clarity, deliberateness, and precision. *In re Paulsen*, 30 F.3d 1475, 1480 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Petitioner does not argue that any claim term in the '032 patent should take on a meaning other than its ordinary and customary meaning. Pet. 18-23. Patent Owner does not address claim construction. Prelim. Resp. 6-8. We proceed on the basis that the claim terms are given their ordinary and customary meaning as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art in the context of the '032 patent.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.