Paper No. 47 Entered: September 8, 2015

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

- - - - -

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - -

UNIVERSAL REMOTE CONTROL, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

- - - - - -

Case IPR2014-01102 (Patent 5,228,077)

Case IPR2014-01103 (Patent 5,552,917)

Case IPR2014-01104 (Patent 5,414,761)

Case IPR2014-01106 (Patent 5,255,313)

Oral Hearing Held on: Wednesday, August 19, 2015

_ _ _ _ _

Before: HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, SALLY C. MEDLEY, and LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, August 19, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., in Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



IPR2014-01102 (Patent 5,228,077) IPR2014-01103 (Patent 5,552,917) IPR2014-01104 (Patent 5,414,761) IPR2014-01106 (Patent 5,255,313)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

PETER H. KANG, ESQ. FERENC PAZMANDI, ESQ. Sidley Austin LLP 1001 Page Mill Road Building 1 Palo Alto, California 94304 650-565-7000

KEITH BARKAUS, ESQ. Ostrolenk Faber, LLP 1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 212-596-0500

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

ERIC J. MAIERS, ESQ. JAMES J. LUKAS, JR., ESQ. Greenberg Traurig, LLP 77 West Wacker Drive Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 312-456-840



IPR2014-01102 (Patent 5,228,077) IPR2014-01103 (Patent 5,552,917) IPR2014-01104 (Patent 5,414,761) IPR2014-01106 (Patent 5,255,313)

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(9:00 a.m.)
3	JUDGE MEDLEY: Good morning, everybody.
4	This is the hearing for IPR2014-01102, 1103, 1104 and 1106
5	between Petitioner, Universal Remote Control, and Patent
6	Owner, Universal Electronics.
7	Per our July 28th order, each party will have 60
8	minutes of total time to present arguments for the four
9	proceedings.
10	Petitioner, you will proceed first to present your
11	case with respect to the challenged claims and grounds for
12	which the Board instituted trial for all of the proceedings.
13	And, thereafter, Patent Owner, you will respond to Petitioner's
14	presentation to all of the proceedings. Petitioner, you may
15	reserve rebuttal time to respond to Patent Owner's presentation
16	only.
17	At this time we would like the parties to please
18	introduce yourselves, beginning with the Petitioner.
19	MR. KANG: Good morning, Your Honors. My
20	name is Peter Kang, with Sidley Austin, and we represent the
21	Petitioner, Universal Remote Control.
22	With me is Dr. Ferenc Pazmandi of my law firm;
23	and co-counsel Keith Barkaus of the Ostrolenk law firm.
24	JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you. And for Patent
25	Owner?



	IPR2014-01102 (Patent 5,228,077) IPR2014-01103 (Patent 5,552,917) IPR2014-01104 (Patent 5,414,761) IPR2014-01106 (Patent 5,255,313)
1	MR. MAIERS: Good morning, Your Honors. On
2	behalf of Patent Owner, Eric Maiers from the law firm of
3	Greenberg Traurig, representing Universal Electronics.
4	MR. LUKAS: Good morning, Your Honors. James
5	Lukas from Greenberg Traurig representing Universal
6	Electronics.
7	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you. Before we
8	get started, we were made aware of the late filing made by the
9	Patent Owner with its demonstratives. Yesterday you had
10	uploaded some demonstratives.
11	One was a redacted version, Exhibit 2071, and that
12	was submitted prior to our order that went out yesterday. And
13	then subsequently you filed an updated redacted version,
14	Exhibit 2072. That was submitted late last night after our
15	order went out. And neither of these filings were timely.
16	So we would like Patent Owner counsel, Mr.
17	Maiers, to please explain why you filed the first redacted and
18	the second redacted versions late and, if we excuse these late
19	filings, which demonstratives should stay of record.
20	MR. MAIERS: So Patent Owner timely filed, as
21	you are aware, a demonstrative that we requested to be filed
22	under seal on Monday of this week.
23	And pursuant to agreement with counsel for
24	Petitioner, we were going to file a redacted version of that
25	same demonstrative, where we redacted the confidential



	IPR2014-01102 (Patent 5,228,077) IPR2014-01103 (Patent 5,552,917) IPR2014-01104 (Patent 5,414,761) IPR2014-01106 (Patent 5,255,313)
1	information from that slide presentation, Tuesday morning,
2	which we did. And then Your Honors issued your order
3	expunging the under seal version of that document.
4	In response to that we conferred with our client
5	and identified some information that we decided to waive
6	confidentiality on, and so we submitted an updated redacted
7	version of those slides such that more of that information that
8	we previously considered confidential would be part of the
9	public record.
10	We did not change or add any material to the slide.
l 1	It was merely just revealing more of the information that was
12	previously redacted. And, as a result, there would be no
13	prejudice to Petitioner as they had the full version of the deck
14	of slides as of Monday, and, frankly, as of last Wednesday
15	when they were originally served.
16	JUDGE MEDLEY: So if he made what was
17	previously redacted available to the public, does that affect
18	your motion to seal? In other words, if you are agreeing that
19	not everything needs to be sealed we don't want to seal
20	anything.
21	MR. MAIERS: Certainly.
22	JUDGE MEDLEY: So if we can hone in on what
23	needs to be sealed, that would help us in our ruling.
24	MR. MAIERS: Absolutely. And that involves a
25	discussion actually Mr. Lukas and Mr. Kang were just having.



25

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

