

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re Patent of: Darbee

Universal Remote Control, Inc.

Patent No.: 5,414,761

v.

Filed: Oct. 8, 1993

Universal Electronics, Inc.

Issued: May 9, 1995

Case No. IPR2014-01104

Assignee: Universal Electronics Inc.

Trial Paralegal: Cathy Underwood

Title: REMOTE CONTROL SYSTEM

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Certificate of Filing: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the USPTO on this 24th day of June, 2015

By: /Jeannie Ngai/
Jeannie Ngai

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Patent Owner’s Expert Testimony Is So Unreliable due to Fundamental Underlying Legal Errors Such That Patent Owner’s Response Is Rendered Baseless.....	1
II. Patent Owner’s Claim Construction Is Legally Erroneous Because It Renders Terms Superfluous, Reads Limitations from the Specification into the Claim, and Ignores the Express Teachings of the Specification.	3
A. "Code Data"	3
1. Properly construed, “code data” refers to data, such as timing information, that are used for generating infrared codes.....	5
2. “Code data” do not require “instructions” since the ‘761 patent systematically distinguishes “instructions” from “code data”	7
3. Even assuming, for the sake of argument, that the “list of instructions” created in the preferred method of learning infrared codes is considered “code data,” nothing in the ‘761 patent or its file history limits the term “code data” such that “instructions” are <i>always</i> required in addition to the timing information of the infrared code.....	8
B. Patent Owner Does Not Distinguish the Prior Art Based on Any Other Claim Constructions Patent Owner Proposed for the Means-Plus-Function Limitations.	10
C. No Further Means Plus Function Limitations Should Be Construed.....	11
III. Claims 1, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16 and 17 are Invalid as Obvious Over Ciarcia in View of Hastreiter Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	12
A. Ciarcia Discloses the Claimed “Code Data for Creating Appropriate IR Lamp Driver Instructions for Causing said Infrared Signal Output Means to Emit Infrared Signals”	12
1. There is no dispute that Ciarcia discloses the properly construed “code data”	13

2.	Even Under Patent Owner’s Erroneous Construction, Ciarcia Teaches Storing and Using “Instructions” to Skilled Artisans	13
3.	Even if EPROM were excluded from the “memory means,” Ciarcia discloses to skilled artisans supplying and storing instructions in a RAM.....	15
B.	The Combination of Ciarcia with Hastreiter Was Obvious to Persons of Ordinary Skill at the Relevant Time Period	16
IV.	Patent Owner Presents No Relevant Evidence Of Commercial Success.....	20
V.	Petitioner has named the real party in interest.....	23
VI.	Conclusion	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Cole v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.</i> , 102 F.3d 524 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	12
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	2, 17
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.</i> , 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	21
<i>Kopykake Enters. v. Lucks Co.</i> , 264 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	2
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	2, 17
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (en banc)	6
<i>Minks v. Polaris Indus.</i> , 546 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	2
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	1, 4, 8
<i>Rodime PLC v. Seagate Tech., Inc.</i> , 174 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	12
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	10
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	12

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit 1001: U.S. Patent No. 5,414,761 to Paul V. Darbee

Exhibit 1002: Prosecution history of U.S. Patent Application Serial No.
08/134,086

Exhibit 1007: U.S. Patent No. 4,918,439 to Wozniak

Exhibit 1008: U.S. Patent No. 4,667,181 to James Hastreiter

Exhibit 1009: "Build a Trainable Infrared Master Controller," by Steve Ciarcia,
BYTE March 1987 at pp. 113-123

Exhibit 1011: U.S. Patent No. 4,959,810 to Darbee et al.

Exhibit 1013: Declaration of Stephen D. Bristow In Support of the Petition for
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 5,414,761

Exhibit 1050: Deposition Transcript of - 6.15.15

Exhibit 1051: Deposition Transcript of Ramzi Ammari - 6.10.15

Exhibit 1052: Deposition Transcript of Ramzi Ammari - 6.11.15

Exhibit 1053: Deposition Transcript of Alex Cook - 6.16.15

Exhibit 1054: Deposition Transcript of Alex Cook - 6.17.15

Exhibit 1055: Prosecution history of U.S. Patent Application Serial No.
07/127,999

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.