

1 Peter H. Kang (Bar No. 158101)
<pkang@sidley.com>
2 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1001 Page Mill Road, Bldg. 1
3 Palo Alto, California 94304
Telephone: (650) 565-7000
4 Facsimile: (650) 565-7100

5 ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED
ON SIGNATURE PAGE

6 *Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants*
7 *Universal Remote Control, Inc.;*
Ohsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; and
8 *Ohsung Electronics U.S.A., Inc.*

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SOUTHERN DIVISION

12
13 Universal Electronics, Inc.,
14 *Plaintiff and*
Counterclaim-Defendant,
15 vs.
16 Universal Remote Control, Inc.; Ohsung
17 Electronics Co., Ltd.; and Ohsung
18 Electronics U.S.A., Inc.,
19 *Defendants and*
Counterclaimants.
20

No. 8:13-cv-00984-AG-JPR

**JOINT STIPULATION STAYING
ACTION PENDING PETITIONS
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS**

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **JOINT STIPULATION**

2 Defendant Universal Remote Control, Inc. (“URC”) recently filed or will file
3 this week ten petitions for *inter partes* review (“IPR Petitions”) in the Patent Office
4 challenging the validity of all of the asserted claims in this action. The parties expect
5 that, pursuant to *inter partes* review rules, the Patent Office will decide whether to
6 institute these *inter partes* reviews within six months of the filing of the IPR Petitions.

7 In light of these petitions, the parties agree that this action should be stayed
8 temporarily during the 6-month IPR petition review period and until there has been a
9 case management conference with the Court. Within 14 days after the Patent Office
10 has issued the last of its ten decisions on whether to institute *inter partes* reviews of
11 the ten patents-in-suit, the parties agree to file a joint statement that agrees upon a date
12 for a case management conference with the Court, informs the Court of the decisions
13 by the Patent Office, and provides the parties’ respective views on the case
14 management, including, without limitations, whether there is any need to continue the
15 stay and, if so, whether the stay should be continued in whole or in part based on the
16 Patent Office’s decisions. The parties further agree that their agreement to enter into
17 this Stipulation shall not prejudice their right to seek or oppose any additional stay or
18 be used to support laches, estoppel or any other equitable defense.

19 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 DATED: July 7, 2014

2 By: /s/ Christopher J. Lee

3 By: /s/ Douglas A. Miro

4 Martin L. Fineman (Bar No. 104413)
<martinfineman@dwt.com>
5 Anna Buono (Bar No. 232753)
<annabuono@dwt.com>
6 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
865 South Figueroa Street, Ste. 2400
7 Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 633-6800
8 Facsimile: (213) 633-6899

Douglas A. Miro (*pro hac vice*)
<dmiro@ostrolenk.com>
Michael F. Hurley (*pro hac vice*)
<mhurley@ostrolenk.com>
OSTROLENK FABER LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 596-0500
Facsimile: (212) 382-0888

9 Raymond P. Niro (*pro hac vice*)
<rniro@nshn.com>
10 Christopher J. Lee (*pro hac vice*)
<clee@nshn.com>
11 Frederick C. Laney (*pro hac vice*)
<laney@nshn.com>
12 Laura A. Kenneally (*pro hac vice*)
<lkenneally@nshn.com>
13 Brian E. Haan (*pro hac vice*)
<bhaan@nshn.com>
14 NIRO HALLER & NIRO, LTD.
181 West Madison Street, Suite 4600
15 Chicago, Illinois 60602
Telephone: (312) 236-0733
16 Facsimile: (312) 236-3137

Brian K. Brookey (Bar No. 149522)
<brian.brookey@tuckerellis.com>
Steven E. Lauridsen (Bar No. 246364)
<steven.lauridsen@tuckerellis.com>
TUCKER ELLIS LLP
515 South Flower Street, 42nd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 430-3400
Facsimile: (213) 430-3409

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and*
18 *Counterclaim-Defendant Universal*
19 *Electronics, Inc.*

Peter H. Kang (Bar No. 158101)
<pkang@sidley.com>
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1001 Page Mill Road, Bldg. 1
Palo Alto, California 94304
Telephone: (650) 565-7000
Facsimile: (650) 565-7100

Samuel R. Miller (Bar No. 66871)
<srmiller@sidley.com>
Teague I. Donahey (Bar No. 197531)
<tdonahey@sidley.com>
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California, Suite 2000
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-1200
Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. 219456)
<tchandler@sidley.com>
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

24 *Attorneys for Defendant and*
25 *Counterclaimant Universal Remote*
26 *Control, Inc.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: /s/ Peter H. Kang

Peter H. Kang (Bar No. 158101)
<pkang@sidley.com>
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1001 Page Mill Road, Bldg. 1
Palo Alto, California 94304
Telephone: (650) 565-7000
Facsimile: (650) 565-7100

Samuel R. Miller (Bar No. 66871)
<srmiller@sidley.com>
Teague I. Donahey (Bar No. 197531)
<tdonahey@sidley.com>
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California, Suite 2000
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-1200
Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

Theodore W. Chandler (Bar No. 219456)
<tchandler@sidley.com>
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

*Attorneys for Defendants and
Counterclaimants Ohsung
Electronics Co., Ltd.; and Ohsung
Electronics U.S.A., Inc.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

I hereby certify that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories shown above.

_____/s/ Peter H. Kang_____