Entered: September 23, 2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, and THE GILLETTE COMPANY, Petitioner,

v.

ZOND, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01100¹ Patent 7,604,716 B2

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, DEBRA K. STEPHENS, JONI Y. CHANG, SUSAN L. C. MITCHELL, and JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHAGNON, Administrative Patent Judge.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

¹ Case IPR2014-00973 has been joined with the instant proceeding.



I. INTRODUCTION

We have jurisdiction to hear this *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. For the reasons discussed herein, we determine that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 12 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,604,716 B2 (Ex. 1101, "the '716 patent") are unpatentable.

A. Procedural History

GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module One LLC & Co. KG, and GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module Two LLC & Co. KG (collectively, "GlobalFoundries") filed a Petition (Paper 2, "Pet.") seeking *inter partes* review of claims 12 and 13 ("the challenged claims") of the '716 patent. GlobalFoundries included a Declaration of Uwe Kortshagen, Ph.D. (Ex. 1102) to support its positions. Zond ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 7, "Prelim. Resp."). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), on October 14, 2014, we instituted an *inter partes* review of the challenged claims to determine if the claims are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over the combination of Wang² and Lantsman.³ Paper 9 ("Inst. Dec.").

Subsequent to institution, we granted a revised Motion for Joinder filed by The Gillette Company ("Gillette"), joining Case IPR2014-00973

³ U.S. Patent No. 6,190,512 B1, issued Feb. 20, 2001 (Ex. 1105).



² U.S. Patent No. 6,413,382 B1, issued July 2, 2002 (Ex. 1104).

with the instant trial (Paper 12).⁴ Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 24, "PO Resp."), along with a Declaration of Larry D. Hartsough, Ph.D. (Ex. 2004) to support its positions. Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 30, "Reply") to the Patent Owner Response, along with a supplemental Declaration of Dr. Kortshagen (Ex. 1120). An oral hearing⁵ was held on June 12, 2015. A transcript of the hearing is included in the record. Paper 37 ("Tr.").

B. Related Proceedings

The parties indicate that the '716 patent was asserted against Petitioner, as well as other defendants, in seven district court lawsuits pending in the District of Massachusetts. Pet. 1; Paper 5; Ex. 1118.

C. The '716 Patent

The '716 patent relates to a method and apparatus for generating a strongly-ionized plasma, for use in various plasma processes. Ex. 1101, Abstract, 7:30–47. For example, at the time of the invention, plasma sputtering was a widely used technique for depositing films on substrates. *Id.* at 1:24–25. As discussed in the '716 patent, prior art magnetron sputtering systems deposited films having low uniformity and poor target utilization (the target material erodes in a non-uniform manner). *Id.* at 3:20–33. The '716 patent discloses that increasing the power applied to the

3



⁴ We refer to GlobalFoundries and Gillette, collectively, as "Petitioner" throughout this Decision.

⁵ The oral hearings for IPR2014-00807, IPR2014-00808, IPR2014-00818, IPR2014-00819, IPR2014-00821, IPR2014-00827, IPR2014-01098, IPR2014-01099, and IPR2014-01100 were consolidated.

plasma, in an attempt to increase the plasma uniformity and density, can also "increase the probability of generating an electrical breakdown condition leading to an undesirable electrical discharge (an electrical arc) in the chamber." *Id.* at 3:34–40.

The '716 patent further discloses that using pulsed DC power can reduce the probability of establishing such an electrical breakdown condition, but that large power pulses still can result in undesirable electrical discharges. *Id.* at 3:42–52. According to the '716 patent, however, first forming a weakly-ionized plasma "substantially eliminates the probability of establishing a breakdown condition in the chamber when high-power pulses are applied between the cathode . . . and the anode." *Id.* at 6:16–19. The "probability of establishing a breakdown condition is substantially eliminated because the weakly-ionized plasma . . . has a low-level of ionization that provides electrical conductivity through the plasma. This conductivity substantially prevents the setup of a breakdown condition, even when high power is applied to the plasma." *Id.* at 6:20–25.

D. Challenged Claims

Each of challenged claims 12 and 13 depends, directly or indirectly, from claim 1, which is not challenged in the present Petition. Claims 1, 12, and 13 are reproduced as follows:

- 1. An apparatus for generating a strongly-ionized plasma, the apparatus comprising:
- a. an ionization source that generates a weakly-ionized plasma from a feed gas contained in a chamber, the weakly-ionized plasma substantially eliminating the probability



of developing an electrical breakdown condition in the chamber; and

b. a power supply that supplies power to the weakly-ionized plasma th[r]ough an electrical pulse that is applied across the weakly-ionized plasma, the electrical pulse having at least one of a magnitude and a rise-time that is sufficient to transform the weakly-ionized plasma to a strongly-ionized plasma without developing an electrical breakdown condition in the chamber.

Ex. 1101, 20:14-27.

12. The apparatus of claim 1 further comprising a gas line that is coupled to the chamber, the gas line supplying feed gas to the strongly-ionized plasma that transports the strongly-ionized plasma by a rapid volume exchange.

Id. at 20:61–64.

13. The apparatus of claim 12 wherein the gas volume exchange permits additional power to be absorbed by the strongly-ionized plasma.

Id. at 20:65–67.

II. ANALYSIS

A. Claim Construction

In an *inter partes* review, claim terms in an unexpired patent are given their broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which they appear. 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b); *see In re Cuozzo Speed Techs.*, *LLC*, 793 F.3d 1268, 1275–79 (Fed. Cir. 2015). Claim terms generally are given their ordinary and customary meaning as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art in the context of the entire disclosure. *In re Translogic Tech.*, *Inc.*, 504 F.3d 1249, 1257 (Fed. Cir.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

