

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG DISPLAY CO, LTD. and  
LG ELECTRONICS, INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2014-01096 (Patent No. 7,537,370)  
Case IPR2014-01097 (Patent No. 7,300,194)<sup>1</sup>

---

THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

REVISED ORDER  
Request for Oral Hearing  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.70*

On August 24, 2015, the Board entered an Order setting oral hearing for these two cases on September 21, 2015. On September 10, 2015, Patent

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are not authorized to use this form of caption.

IPR2014-01096 (Patent No. 7,537,370)

IPR2014-01097 (Patent No. 7,300,194)

Owner requested adverse judgment on and cancellation of all challenged claims in IPR2014-01097. Paper 31, IPR2014-01097. The Board grants the request in a decision issued concurrently with this Revised Order.

In view of the adverse judgement and cancellation of all challenged claims in IPR2014-01097, the oral argument in that case scheduled for September 21, 2015 is cancelled. The argument will proceed on that date as scheduled for IPR2014-01096. In view of the fact that only one case will be heard on that date, the argument will proceed as follows.

Petitioner will have 45 minutes to present argument. Patent Owner will have 45 minutes to respond. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's claims at issue in these reviews are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial in IPR2014-01096. After Petitioner's presentation, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner.

The hearing will commence at 2 P.M. on September 21, 2015, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

The parties are reminded that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed.

Furthermore, demonstrative exhibits must be served at least seven business days before the hearing date. The parties also shall provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least five business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). The parties shall *not* file any demonstrative exhibits in this proceeding without prior authorization from the Board.

The parties must file any objections to the demonstratives with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until after the oral argument. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

The parties also should note that at least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing remotely. The parties, therefore, must identify clearly and specifically each exhibit (e.g., by exhibit number, slide, or screen number) referenced during the hearing.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. Any counsel of record, however, may present the party's argument. The parties may divide argument among counsel as they wish.

IPR2014-01096 (Patent No. 7,537,370)

IPR2014-01097 (Patent No. 7,300,194)

Should there be any disagreement among the parties on the division of argument, the parties shall meet and confer in advance of the hearing to try to resolve the dispute and, if necessary, contact the Board for assistance.

If any party expects that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio visual equipment should be directed to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

IPR2014-01096 (Patent No. 7,537,370)

IPR2014-01097 (Patent No. 7,300,194)

For PETITIONER:

Robert G. Pluta

Amanda K. Streff

Baldine B. Paul

Anita Y. Lam

MAYER BROWN LLP

[rpluta@mayerbrown.com](mailto:rpluta@mayerbrown.com)

[astreff@mayerbrown.com](mailto:astreff@mayerbrown.com)

[bpaul@mayerbrown.com](mailto:bpaul@mayerbrown.com)

[alam@mayerbrown.com](mailto:alam@mayerbrown.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Justin B. Kimble

Jeffrey Bragalone

BRAGALONE CONROY P.C.

[jkimble@bcpc-law.com](mailto:jkimble@bcpc-law.com)

[jbragalone@bcpc-law.com](mailto:jbragalone@bcpc-law.com)