

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG DISPLAY, LTD.  
Petitioner

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-01096  
Patent 7,537,370

---

Mailed: July 16, 2014

Before Lawrence J. Banks, *Trial Paralegal*.

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION  
AND  
TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of July 1, 2014.

Administrative Patent Judge Thomas L. Giannetti has been designated to manage the proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.5.

A review of the petition identified the following defect(s):

**Improper usages of claim charts:** *Claim charts should only be used to provide an element-by-element showing as to how the prior art teaches the limitations of a claim (e.g., citations to a prior art reference, quotations from a prior art reference). Claim charts may not include arguments, claim construction, statements of the law, or detailed explanations as to why a claim limitation is taught or rendered obvious by the prior art. A mere citation to an expert declaration (e.g., “See Ex. 1015 ¶ 29”) in a claim chart is permissible, but anything more than a mere citation is improper.*

Petitioner must correct the defect(s) within **FIVE BUSINESS DAYS** from this notice. Failure to correct the defect(s) may result in an order to show cause as to why the Board should institute the trial. No substantive changes (e.g., new grounds) may be made to the petition.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

Case IPR2014-01096  
Patent 7,537,370

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under “Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices.”

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS), accessible from the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Lawrence J. Banks at 571-272-3450 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

Case IPR2014-01096  
Patent 7,537,370

PETITIONER:

Robert G. Pluta  
Amanda K. Streff  
Baldine B. Paul  
Anita Y. Lam  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
rpluta@mayerbrown.com  
astreff@mayerbrown.com  
bpaul@mayerbrown.com  
alam@mayerbrown.com

PATENT OWNER:

Donald L. Otto (Rambus)  
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP  
1621 Euclid Avenue  
19<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44115