

Docket No. 1642930-0008 IPR1

Filed on behalf of GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES
Dresden Module One LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module
Two LLC & CO. KG

By: David M. Tennant, Reg. No. 48,362
White & Case LLP
701 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 626-3684
Email: dtennant@whitecase.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN
MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE
TWO LLC & CO. KG
Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC.
Patent Owner

IPR Case No. IPR2014-01089

**REVISED PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,806,652
CHALLENGING CLAIM 35
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MANDATORY NOTICES 1

 A. Real Party-in-Interest..... 1

 B. Related Matters 1

 C. Counsel 1

 D. Service Information 1

II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING..... 2

III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED 2

 A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications 2

 B. Grounds for Challenge..... 3

 C. Legal Principles 4

IV. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF TECHNOLOGY 5

 A. Plasma 6

 B. Excited atoms..... 7

V. OVERVIEW OF THE '652 PATENT..... 8

 A. Summary of Alleged Invention of the '652 Patent..... 8

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 12

 A. Introduction..... 12

 B. “means for generating an initial plasma and excited atoms from a volume of feed gas” 13

 C. “means for transporting the initial plasma and excited atoms proximate to a cathode assembly” 15

 D. “means for super-ionizing the initial plasma proximate to the cathode assembly” 16

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIMARY PRIOR ART REFERENCES 17

 A. Summary of the prior art..... 17

 B. Overview of Mozgrin..... 17

 C. Overview of Kudryavtsev 20

 D. Overview of Fahey..... 22

 E. Overview of Iwamura 22

VIII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION 23

 A. Ground I: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin,
 Kudryavtsev, and Fahey 24

 B. Ground II: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin,
 Kudryavtsev, Fahey, and Iwamura 38

 C. Ground III: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin and
 Iwamura 42

 D. Ground IV: Claim 35 would have been obvious over Mozgrin,
 Iwamura, and Fahey 50

IX. CONCLUSION 53

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

In KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398, 415 (2007).....4, 5, 29, 32, 38, 42, 47, 49, 50, 52

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.,
496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... 12

Rockwell Int’l Corp. v. United States,
147 F.3d 1358, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 4

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 3, 4

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)..... 3

Rules

Rule 42.104(a) 2

Rules 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2)..... 2

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)..... 12

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 12

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module One LLC & Co. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module Two LLC & Co. KG (collectively, “Petitioner”) are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 6,806,652 (“the ’652 Patent”) (Ex. 1201) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts. *See* List of Related Litigations (Ex. 1214). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents that name the same alleged inventor. The below-listed claims of the ’652 Patent are presently the subject of two substantially identical petitions for *inter partes* review with Case Nos. IPR2014-00923 and IPR2014-01004. Petitioner plans to seek joinder with IPR2014-00923.

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: David M. Tennant (Reg. No. 48,362)

Backup Counsel: Dohm Chankong (Reg. No. 70,524)

D. Service Information

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4), papers concerning this matter should be served on the following. Petitioner consents to electronic service.

David M. Tennant (Reg. No. 48,362)

E-mail: dtennant@whitecase.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.