1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
3	HONORABLE ANDREW J. GUILFORD, JUDGE PRESIDING				
4	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC.,				
5)				
6	Plaintiff,)				
7)				
8	Vs.) No. SACV12-00329-AG				
9)				
10	UNIVERSAL REMOTE CONTROL, INC.,)				
11)				
12	Defendant.)				
13					
14					
15					
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
17	JURY INSTRUCTION HEARING				
18	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA				
19	TUESDAY, MAY 6, 2014				
20					
21					
22	MIRIAM V. BAIRD, CSR 11893, CCRA				
23	OFFICIAL U.S. DISTRICT COURT REPORTER 411 WEST FOURTH STREET, SUITE 1-053				
24	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92701 (714) 894-5384				
25	MVB11893@AOL.COM				



1	APPEARANCES					
2						
3	IN BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, CHRISTOPHER J. LEE UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC.,: FREDERICK C. LANEY					
4	LAURA KENNEALLY					
5	NIRO HALLER AND NIRO LTD 181 WEST MADISON STREET					
6	SUITE 4600 CHICAGO, IL 60602					
7	312-236-0733					
8						
9						
10						
11	IN BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, THEODORE W. CHANDLER UNIVERSAL REMOTE CONTROL, TEAGUE DONAHEY					
12	INC.: CYNTHIA CHI SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP					
13	555 WEST 5TH STREET SUITE 4000					
14	LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-3000 213-896-5830					
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						



1		INDEX	
2			PAGE:
3			
4		****	
5			
6			F 2
7	Marked Colloquy		53
8		****	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



```
1
            SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 6, 2014; 0900
 2
 3
                THE CLERK: SACV12-0329-AG. Universal Electronics,
 4
 5
      Inc., vs. Universal Remote Control, Inc.
 6
                THE COURT: Can I have the appearances, please.
 7
                MR. LEE: Good morning, Your Honor.
                Christopher Lee, Chris Laney, Laura Kenneally, and
 8
 9
      Brian Haan on behalf of plaintiff Universal.
10
                THE COURT: Let's go over that again. Christopher
11
     Lee --
12
                MR. LEE: Chris Laney, Laura Kenneally, and Brian
13
      Haan.
                THE COURT: From the defense?
14
15
                MR. DONAHEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
                Teague Donahey with my colleague Ted Chandler and
17
      Cynthia Chi for defendant URC.
18
                THE COURT: We have Ted Chandler, Cynthia Chi, and
19
     who else?
20
                MR. DONAHEY: I'm Teague Donahey.
21
                THE COURT: All right.
22
                The Court has observed among some of those in the
23
      courtroom a possible proclivity to last-minute settlements.
24
      When was the last time you had settlement discussions?
25
                MR. LEE: Your Honor, the last settlement
```



discussion took place by way of exchange of settlement and counter offer last week. That was the fourth or fifth exchange the parties had. This morning, I informed the defendant that unfortunately, despite our best efforts, parties are simply too far apart to resolve this lawsuit. THE COURT: What does the defense say? MR. DONAHEY: Well, Your Honor, I understand that while we were arriving at court, they sent us a response to our most recent counter proposal, and the response was that they were no longer interested in engaging in settlement discussions. So to the extent that's the case, I agree with counsel that we sort of reached an impasse at this point. THE COURT: Was that the message communicated you're no longer interested in engaging in settlement discussions? MR. LEE: No, Your Honor. What we did is we rejected their last offer on the basis that one, parties are too far apart --THE COURT: Hold on. I don't want to get deep into it. Counsel just said you folks said you're no longer interested in settlement discussions. You didn't say that? MR. LEE: No. In fact, our last sentence in the response simply said we remain open, flexible, and willing to



1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talk to them during trial and after trial, at least for

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

