ase 8:13-cv-01484-AG-JPR Document 51 Filed 06/27/14 Page 1 of 30 Page ID #:275



27

TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 2 Page(s) 3 4 I. 5 II. 6 Α. 7 В. 8 1. 9 10 2. The '243 Patent.....4 11 The '642 Patent.....4 3. 12 The '930 Patent and the '112 Patent5 4. 13 5. 14 6. 15 THE APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS8 III. 16 17 IV. STATUS OF DISPUTED AND AGREED CLAIM TERMS8 18 V. ARGUMENT.....8 19 "data that functions to identify the appliance" ('243 Patent, claims 1 A. and 8).....8 20 21 "data for use in generating an infrared code to be transmitted" ('101 В. 22 C. 23 24 D. "hyperlink which is activatable to initiate a use of the data in the first



E.

F.

25

26

27

"keystroke indicator signal" ('642 Patent, claims 1, 2, 5, 22 & 23)....19

•	ase 8:	:13-cv-(1484-AG-JPR Document 51 Filed 06/27/14 Page 3 of 30 Page ID #:27	7
1		G.	"identify/identifying one of a plurality of sets of information which is	
2			associated with the first class" ('351 Patent, claims 1 and 18)21	
3		H.	"second data representative of the motion made across the touch-sensitive surface" ('309 Patent, Claim 1)23	
4	VI.	CON	CLUSION25	
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
20 27				
_ '				



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 1 2 Page(s) 3 Cases 4 Aten Int'l Co. v. Emine Tech. Co., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 128579 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2011)8 5 6 Braintree Labs., Inc. v. Novel Labs., Inc., 7 GE Lighting Solutions, LLC v. Agilight, Inc., 8 750 F.3d 1304, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 8202 (Fed. Cir. May 1, 2014)9 9 Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 10 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995)8 11 Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., 12 13 Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc., 14 15 Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)11, 14, 20 16 17 Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni, 18 19 Trebro Mfg. v. FireFly Equip., LLC, 748 F.3d 1159 (Fed. Cir. 2014)24 20 Vederi, LLC v. Google, Inc., 21 22 Wilson Sporting Goods Co. v. Hillerich & Bradsby Co., 23 24 **Statutes** 25 35 U.S.C. § 112......8 26 35 U.S.C. §112 ¶ 2......21 27



I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff and Counter-Defendants Universal Electronics, Inc. ("UEI") hereby submits its opening claim construction brief in accordance with the Joint Report on Early Meeting of the Parties (Dkt. No. 39) and Standing Patent Rule 3.5.

II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. The Parties And Accused Products

With its headquarters in Santa Ana and 1,807 employees world-wide in 2013, UEI has been at the forefront of remote control technology since 1986. UEI's on-going commitment to innovation has resulted in over 260 issued and pending United States patents. Its business includes pre-programmed and programmable universal infrared and radio frequency remote controls.

Defendant, Peel Technologies, Inc. ("Peel") was first incorporated in 2008 in Delaware. Peel has sold and distributed software and hardware used in connection with remote control devices. For example, Peel has provided software products called the "TV App," "WatchON App," and "Peel Smart Remote App," which are applications that can be downloaded and used with various mobile devices, including Android mobile phones and tablets, such as the Samsung Galaxy. Peel has also sold a product called the "Peel Universal Remote" consisting of a Peel "Fruit" hardware device and a software component for use with the iOS operating system. The Peel "Fruit" hardware is an IR component that allows Apple products, which do not have a built-in IR blaster, to emit IR signals. The applications display icons, and receive inputs, via a touch screen.

Accused features and functionalities relevant to the present claim construction issues include the application interfaces, which include mark-up language formatted page tags that are used to display labels (i.e. buttons), to the user. The labels are part of a data structure that includes both a first data field and a second data field, where the first data field contains data for use in generating an

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

