Docket No. 076885.0192

UNITED S	TATES	PATENT	AND T	'RADEM	ARK (	OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., AND TOSHIBA CORPORATION.

Petitioner

ZOND, INC.

Patent Owner

v.

Case No. IPR2014-01070
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,805,779
CLAIMS 30-37, 39 and 40
TITLE: PLASMA GENERATION USING MULTI-STEP IONIZATION

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. §315(c) AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.22 AND § 42.122(b)



#### INTRODUCTION

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Renesas Electronics Corporation, Renesas Electronics America, Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module One LLC & Co. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module Two LLC & Co. KG, Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc., Toshiba America Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba Corporation (collectively, "Petitioner") filed the present petition for inter partes review IPR2014-01070 (the "Joint IPR") and hereby move for joinder of the Joint IPR with IPR2014-00828, filed by Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, LTD (the "TSMC IPR"). The Joint IPR is identical to the TSMC IPR in all substantive respects, includes identical exhibits, and relies upon the same expert declarant. Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited and Fujitsu Semiconductor America, Inc. (collectively "Fujitsu"), and The Gillette Company and Proctor & Gamble, Inc. (collectively, "Gillette") have filed petitions identical to the TSMC IPR and have likewise moved for joinder with the TSMC IPR.

position at this time.

TSMC, Fujitsu, and Gillette do not oppose this motion. Zond declined to take a

### BACKGROUND AND RELATED PROCEEDINGS

The Joint IPR and TSMC IPR are among a family of *inter partes* review proceedings relating to seven patents that are being asserted by Zond, Inc.



("Zond") against numerous defendants in the District of Massachusetts: 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al.*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu and TSMC*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*).

In particular, a first complaint in 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*) was served on defendant Intel Corporation on July 9, 2013. The following table summarizes the dates on which a first complaint was served on Petitioner.

<b>Defendant Group</b>	Case	Service Date of First Complaint		
AMD	Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:13-cv-11577-DPW	July 2, 2013		
GlobalFoundries	<i>Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 1:13-cv-11577-DPW	December 5, 2013		
Renesas	Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp., Case No.1:13-cv-11625-NMG	July 8, 2013		
Toshiba	Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc., Case No.1:13-cv-11581-DJC	July 3, 2013		

Accordingly, all petitions for *inter partes* review that have been filed by defendants TSMC, AMD, GlobalFoundries, Renesas, and Toshiba are timely as prescribed by 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).

On April 18, 2014, in 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*), the Court entered an order granting Intel's Motion to Stay pending *inter partes* review, indicating



that "the Court will benefit from the expert claim analysis of the PTO." Order Granting Mot. to Stay, Dkt. No. 120.

On June 6, 2014, as clarified on July 22, 2014, in 11:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*), the Court entered an order to administratively stay the case pending conclusion of *inter partes* reviews.

Currently, the family of *inter partes* review proceedings relating to the seven Zond patents (the "Zond IPRs") consists of the following proceedings:

	TSM	C IPRs	Joint IPRs GlobalFoundries IPRs		Claims		
Patent	TSMC Ref	TSMC Filed	Joint Ref	Joint Filed	GF Ref	GF Filed	Claims Challenged
6,805,779	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01073	06/30/14	1-4, 10-15, 17, 18, 24- 27 and 29
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01076	06/30/14	5, 6, 8, 19, 22, 23, and 43
	IPR2014 -00828	05/28/14	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30-40
	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01070	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	30-37, 39, 40
	IPR2014 -00829	05/28/14	IPR2014 -01072	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	16, 28, 41, 42, 45 and 46
	IPR2014 -00917	06/09/14	IPR2014 -01074	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	7, 9, 20, 21, 38, and 44
6,806,652	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01089	07/01/14	35
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01088	07/01/14	1-17
	IPR2014 -00861	05/30/14	IPR2014 -01066	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	18-34
6,853,142	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01098	07/01/14	40, 41



	IPR2014 -00818	05/27/14	IPR2014 -01075	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	1, 3-10, 12, 15, 17-20, 42
	IPR2014 -00821	05/27/14	IPR2014 -01057	06/27/14	n/a	n/a	2, 11, 13, 14, 16
	IPR2014 -00819	05/27/14	IPR2014 -01046	06/27/14	n/a	n/a	21, 24, 26-28, 31, 32, 37, 38
	IPR2014 -00827	05/28/14	IPR2014 -01063	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	22, 23, 25, 29, 30, 33- 36, 39, 43
7,147,759	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01086	06/30/14	1, 4, 10-12, 17, 18, 44
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01087	06/30/14	2, 3, 5-9, 13-16, 19, 41-43, 45
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01083	06/30/14	40
	IPR2014 -00781	05/19/14	IPR2014 -01047	06/27/14	n/a	n/a	20, 21, 34-36, 38, 39, 47, 49
	IPR2014 -00782	05/19/14	IPR2014 -01059	06/27/14	n/a	n/a	22-33, 37, 46, 48, 50
7,604,716	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01099	07/01/14	1-11 and 33
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	IPR2014 -01100	07/01/14	12 and 13
	IPR2014 -00807	05/23/14	IPR2014 -01065	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	14-18 and 25-32
	IPR2014 -00808	05/23/14	IPR2014 -01067	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	19-24
7,808,184	IPR2014 -00799	05/22/14	IPR2014 -01042	06/27/14	n/a	n/a	1-5, 11-15
	IPR2014 -00803	05/22/14	IPR2014 -01061	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	6-10, 16-20
	•	•		•		•	
7,811,421	IPR2014 -00800	05/22/14	IPR2014 -01037	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	1, 2, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 22-25, 27-30, 33, 34, 38, 39, 42, 43, 46-48
	IPR2014 -00802	05/22/14	IPR2014 -01071	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	9, 14, 21, 26, 35, 37
	IPR2014 -00805	05/23/14	IPR2014 -01069	06/30/14	n/a	n/a	3-7, 18-20, 31, 32, 36, 40, 41, 44, 45



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

