ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS
CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN
MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE
TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS,
INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION
SYSTEMS, INC., AND TOSHIBA CORPORATION
Petitioners

V.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

Case No.

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 3-7, 18-20, 31, 32, 36, 40, 41, 44 and 45 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. M	andatory Notices	- 1 -
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	- 1 -
B.	Related Matters	- 1 -
C.	Counsel	- 2 -
D.	Service Information	- 2 -
II. Co	ertification of Grounds for Standing	- 3 -
III. C	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	- 3 -
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	- 3 -
B.	Grounds for Challenge	- 4 -
IV. B	Brief Description of Technology	- 4 -
A.	Plasma	- 4 -
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms	- 5 -
V. O	verview of the '421 Patent	- 7 -
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent	- 7 -
B.	Prosecution History	- 7 -
VI. C	Overview of the primary prior art references	- 8 -
A.	Summary of the prior art	- 8 -
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	- 8 -
C.	Overview of Wang	10 -
VII.	Claim construction	11 -
A.	"weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	12 -
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	13 -
A. Moz	Ground I: Claims 3-5, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the combination grin and Kawamata	
1.	Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin	14 -
2.	Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin	22 -
3.	Dependent claims 3-5, 36, 40 and 41	24 -
B.	Ground II: Claims 3-5, 18-20, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the bination of Wang and Kawamata	29 -



U.S. PATENT 7,811,421 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang 29 -
2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang 35 -
3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang 37 -
4. Dependent claims 3-5, 18-20, 36, 40 and 41 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Kawamata38 -
C. Ground III: Claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman42 -
1. Independent claim 17 is obvious in view of Mozgrin and Lantsman 42 -
2. Dependent claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin and Lantsman46 -
D. Ground IV: Claims 7, 18-20 and 32 are obvious over the combination of Mozgrin, Lantsman and Kawamata51 -
E. Ground V: Claims 6, 31, 44 and 45 are obvious over the combination of Wang and Lantsman54 -
F. Ground VI: Claims 7 and 32 are obvious over the combination of Wang, Lantsman and Kawamata58 -
IX. Conclusion - 60 -



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

The real parties-in-interest are: Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Renesas Electronics Corporation, Renesas Electronics America, Inc.,
GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module One
LLC & Co. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module Two LLC & Co. KG,
Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc., Toshiba America Inc., Toshiba
America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba Corporation ("Petitioner").

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 ("'421 Patent") (Ex. 1101) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*) ; 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '421 Patent. The below-listed claims of the '421 Patent are presently the subject of four substantially identical petitions for inter partes review with Case Nos. IPR2014-00473, IPR2014-00805, IPR2014-00851, and IPR2014-

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

