UNITED	<b>STATES</b>	PATENT	AND TE	RADEMA	RK (	OFFIC	Έ

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., INC., GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE ONE LLC & CO. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES DRESDEN MODULE TWO LLC & CO. KG, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC., TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., AND TOSHIBA CORPORATION.

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,604,716 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 19-24 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Mandatory Notices 1 -
A	Real Party-in-Interest1 -
В	Related Matters1 -
C	Counsel 2 -
D	Service Information 2 -
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing2 -
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested 3 -
A	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications 3 -
В	Grounds for Challenge 4 -
IV.	Brief Description of Technology 5 -
A	. Plasma 5 -
В	Ions and Excited Atoms 6 -
V.	Overview of the '716 Patent7 -
A	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '716 Patent 7 -
В	Prosecution History 8 -
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References9 -
A	Summary of the Prior Art9 -
В	Overview of Mozgrin9 -
C	Overview of Kudryavtsev11 -
D	Overview of Wang 12 -
VII.	Claim Construction 14 -
A	. "weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma" 14 -
VIII	Specific Grounds for Petition 16 -
A M	Ground I: Claims 22-24 are obvious in view of the combination of ozgrin and Kudryavtsev16 -
	1. Independent claim 14 is obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev 16 -



2. Dependent claims 22-24 are obvious in view of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev28 -
B. Ground II: Claims 19 and 20 are obvious over Mozgrin in view of Kudryavtsev and Lantsman29 -
C. Ground III: Claim 21 is obvious over Mozgrin in view of Kudryavtsev and Mozgrin Thesis
D. Ground IV: Claim 21 is obvious over Wang in view of Kudryavtsev 40 -
1. Independent claim 14 is obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev 40 -
2. Dependent claim 21 is obvious in view of the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev48 -
E. Ground V: Claims 19 and 20 are obvious over Wang in view of Kudryavtsev and Lantsman49 -
F. Ground VI: Claims 22-24 are obvious over Wang in view of Kudryavtsev and Mozgrin 54 -
V Conclusion 59



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).



#### I. MANDATORY NOTICES

## A. Real Party-in-Interest

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Renesas Electronics Corporation, Renesas Electronics America, Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S., Inc., GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module One LLC & Co. KG, GLOBALFOUNDRIES Dresden Module Two LLC & Co. KG, Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc., Toshiba America Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba Corporation (collectively, "Petitioner") are the real parties-in-interest.

## **B.** Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,604,716 ("716 Patent") (Ex. 1301) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '716 Patent. The below-listed claims of the '716 Patent are presently the subject of four substantially identical petitions for *inter partes review* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

