

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC., T-MOBILE USA, INC., and T-MOBILE US, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-01036  
Patent No. 5,915,210

---

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE THE *INTER PARTES* REVIEW WITH  
RESPECT TO APPLE INC.**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), Patent Owner Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC (“Patent Owner”) and Apple Inc. (“Apple”) jointly request termination of IPR2014-01036, which is directed to U.S. Patent No. 5,915,210 (the “’210 Patent”) with respect to Apple.

On June 27, 2014, Apple Inc. filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“Apple IPR” – which is the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review, *i.e.*, IPR2014-01036) before the United States Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the “Board”). Patent Owner’s preliminary response was filed on October 24, 2014 in the Apple IPR. On January 22, 2015, the Board issued a Decision to Institute *inter partes* review of the ’210 Patent in the Apple IPR. Paper 9.

On October 3, 2014, T-Mobile USA, Inc. and T-Mobile US, Inc. (“T-Mobile”) filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“T-Mobile IPR”) before the Board (assigned IPR2015-00015). Patent Owner’s preliminary response was filed on January 20, 2015 in the T-Mobile IPR. On April 8, 2015, the Board issued a Decision to Institute *inter partes* review of the ’210 Patent in the T-Mobile IPR and to join the Apple IPR and T-Mobile IPR (“Joined *Inter Partes* Review” – which is also the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review, *i.e.*, IPR2014-01036). Paper 13 of IPR2015-00015.

Patent Owner has not filed a response in the Joined *Inter Partes* Review, and one is not due (by stipulation of Apple, T-Mobile, and Patent Owner, filed herewith) until June 21, 2015.

Patent Owner and Apple respectfully submit that termination with respect to Apple is appropriate because they have reached an agreement resolving the dispute involving the patent at issue in this Joined *Inter Partes* Review, it is prior to full briefing on the issues raised in this Joined *Inter Partes* Review, and the Board has not issued a final written decision. Further, Apple represents that it will no longer participate even if the Board does not terminate its participation in this Joined *Inter Partes* Review. That means Apple will file no further papers. It also will not be participating in any oral argument.

The Board authorized the filing of the instant Motion in a conference call dated April 21, 2015. IPR2013-00428, Paper No. 56 provides guidance as to the content of a motion to terminate. There, the Board indicates that a joint motion, such as this one, should (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue, and the status of each; and (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office. IPR2013-00428, Paper No. 56 at 2. This Motion satisfies each of the above requirements.

Indeed, Apple and Patent Owner have entered into a Settlement Agreement (“Apple Settlement Agreement”), a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 2001, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).<sup>1</sup>

Patent Owner and Apple are concurrently filing a Joint Request that the Apple Settlement Agreement, Confidential Exhibit 2001, shall be treated as business confidential information, shall be kept separate from the file of the involved patents, and shall be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. §42.74(c).

**1. All parties in any pending related litigation involving the patents at issue, and current status of each such related litigation.**

Apple is not involved in any other pending related litigations involving the '210 Patent. Other parties involved in litigations related to the '210 Patent are identified in the table that follows.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Apple Settlement Agreement (Exhibit 2001) is being filed electronically via the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) as “Board Only,” because T-Mobile is not a party to the Apple Settlement Agreement.

<b>Case Name</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Court</b>	<b>Defendants</b>	<b>Status</b>
Mobile Telecommunicationas Technologies, LLC v. Leap Wireless Intrernational, Inc. and Cricket Communications, Inc.	No. 2:13-cv-00885-JRG-RSP	E.D. TX.	<i>Leap Wireless Intrernational, Inc. and Cricket Communications, Inc.</i>	On-going; Claim construction hearing held March 23, 2015.
Mobile Telecommunicationas Technologies, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. and T-Mobile US, Inc.	No2:13-cv-886-JRG-RSP	E.D. TX.	T-Mobile USA, Inc. and T-Mobile US, Inc	Stayed until May 7, 2015 for purposes of facilitating settlement.
Mobile Telecommunicationas Technologies, LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Inc.	2:14-CV-897-RSP	ED. Tex.	AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Inc.	Scheduling Conf. held March 20, 2015.

**3. Related proceedings currently before the Office and Status.**

Aside from this *inter partes* review proceeding, the '210 Patent is also the subject of the following proceeding(s) currently before the Office:

<b>Related Proceeding</b>	<b>Requestor/Petitioner</b>	<b>Status</b>
IPR2015-00015	T-Mobile	Joined with this proceeding IPR2014-01036

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.