IPR2014-01016 U.S. Patent No. 6,853,142

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### THE GILLETTE COMPANY

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01016 Patent 6,853,142

## ZOND LLC'S PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)



### IPR2014-01016 U.S. Patent No. 6,853,142

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION						
II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND						
А.	Overv	view Of Magnetron Sputtering Systems.	8			
B.	ionizi power weakl for di	142 patent: Dr. Chistyakov invents a new apparatus having i) means for ng a feed gas to form a weakly-ionized plasma, ii) means for supplying r to the weakly-ionized plasma by applying an electrical pulse across the ly ionized plasma to generate a strongly ionized plasma, and iii) means ffusing the strongly-ionized plasma with additional feed gas to allow onal power to be absorbed by the strongly ionized plasma	10			
C.	The P	Petitioner Mischaracterized The File History	14			
III. SUMMARY OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR REVIEW17						
IV. PATENT OWNER'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS						
А.	The c	onstruction of "weakly ionized plasma" and "strongly ionized plasma"	19			
B.	The c	onstruction of "means for ionizing a feed gas"	21			
C.		onstruction of "means for supplying power" (claim 40) and "means pplying an electric field" (claim 41)	23			
D.	The c	onstruction of "means for diffusing" (claim 40)	26			
		O REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PETITIONER PREVAILING HALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '142 PATENT	27			
А.	The P	Petition failed to demonstrate any motivation to combine.	29			
1. Scope and content of prior art						
	a.	Lantsman – U.S. Pat. No. 6,190,512 (Ex. 1406)	32			
	b.	Kudryavtsev – A. A. Kudryavtsev and V.N. Skerbov, Ionization relaxation in a plasma produced by a pulsed inert-gas discharge, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 28(1), pp. 30-35, January 1983 (Ex. 1404)	33			
	c.	Mozgrin – D.V. Mozgrin, et al, High-Current Low-Pressure Quasi- Stationary Discharge in a Magnetic Field: Experimental Research, Plasma Physics Reports, Vol. 21, No. 5, pp. 400-409, 1995 (Ex. 1403)	35			
	d.	Wang – U.S. Patent No. 6,413,382 (Exhibit 1405)	37			

DOCKET

### IPR2014-01016 U.S. Patent No. 6,853,142

2	2.	The Petitioner Fails To Show That It Would Have Been Obvious To Combine The DC Power System Without Pulses Of Lantsman With The Pulsed Power System Of Either Mozgrin or Wang.	39
3		The Petitioner Failed To Show That It Would Have Been Obvious To Combine The Cylindrical Tube System Without A Magnet Of Kudryavtsev With Either The Mozgrin or Wang Magnetron System	15
B.		The Petition fails to demonstrate how the alleged combinations teach every element of the challenged claims	19
1	•	The cited references do not teach "diffusing the strongly-ionized plasma with additional feed gas to allow additional power to be absorbed by the strongly-ionized plasma," as recited in independent claim 40.	50
C.		The Petition Failed to Identify Any Compelling Rationale for Adopting Redundant Grounds of Rejection Under Both Mozgrin and Wang	53
COI	NC	LUSION	58

VI.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The Petitioner has represented in a motion for joinder that this petition "is identical to the Intel IPR2014-00498 in all substantive respects, includes identical exhibits, and relies upon the same expert declarant." Accordingly, based upon that representation, the Patent Owner opposes review on the same basis presented in opposition to Intel's request no. IPR2014-00498, which is repeated below:

The Board should deny the present request for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,853,142 ("the '142 patent") because there is not a reasonable likelihood that the Petitioner will prevail at trial with respect to at least one claim of the '142 patent.<sup>1</sup>

Indeed, there are four different and independent groups of reasons why the Petitioner cannot prevail. First, the references that are primarily relied upon by the Petitioner (*i.e.*, Mozgrin and Wang) were already considered by the Examiner and overcome during the prosecution of the application that led to the issuance of the '142 patent. These references were considered by 6

<sup>1</sup> 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

different examiners and overcome during the prosecution of 9 other patents that are related to the '142 patent over nearly a 10 year period.<sup>2</sup>

Second, the Petitioner's obviousness rejections are all predicated on the false assumption that a skilled artisan could have achieved the combination of i) means for ionizing a feed gas to form a weakly-ionized plasma, ii) means for supplying power to the weakly-ionized plasma by applying an electrical pulse across the weakly ionized plasma, the electrical pulse having a magnitude and a rise-time that is sufficient to increase the density of the weakly-ionized plasma to generate a strongly ionized plasma, and iii) means for diffusing the strongly-ionized plasma with additional feed gas to allow additional power to be absorbed by the strongly-ionized plasma, as required by independent claim

<sup>2</sup> Examiners Douglas Owens, Tung X. Le, Rodney McDonald, Wilson Lee, Don Wong, and Tuyet T. Vo allowed U.S. Patents 7,147,759, 7,808,184, 7,811,421, 8,125,155, 6,853,142, 7,604,716, 6,896,775, 6,896,773, 6,805,779, and 6,806,652 over Mozgrin and Wang over nearly a decade from the time that the application for the '759 patent was filed on 9/30/2002 to the time that the '155 patent issued on 2/28/2012.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.