Paper No. ___

Filed: October 1, 2014

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD BIODELIVERY SCIENCES INTERNATIONAL, INC. Petitioner v. RB PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED Patent Owner. Case IPR2014-00998 Patent 8,475,832

MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board recognize Mr. Daniel A. Ladow as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

1. Time for Filing

This Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is being filed no sooner than twenty one (21) days after service of the petition.

2. Statement of Facts

As required by the Notice of Filing Date, and by the Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission in Case IPR2013-00639 ("the Representative Order"), the following statement of facts shows that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Ladow *pro hac vice*.

This proceeding is closely related to IPR2014-00325, which has been instituted as to the same claims of the same patent at issue here. In that related *inter partes* review, the Board has recognized Mr. Ladow as counsel *pro hac vice*. IPR2014-00325, Paper 14. Mr. Ladow has also been recognized as counsel *pro hac vice* in the unrelated IPR2013-00459.

Mr. Ladow is an experienced litigating attorney with experience, among other things, in numerous patent infringement litigations in District Courts across the country, including experience in trials and *Markman* hearings, and before the Federal Circuit, experience in Interference proceedings and *inter partes* review before the Office, experience in § 146 civil actions, and experience in § 337 ITC



investigations. A biographical profile of Mr. Ladow is attached as Appendix A to the Affidavit of Daniel A. Ladow (RB Ex. 2001) filed concurrently. As stated by Mr. Ladow in the Affidavit, he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct.

The '832 patent was previously asserted against Petitioner in *Reckitt* Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, and MonoSol Rx, LLC. v. BioDelivery Sciences International, Inc., E.D.N.C. Civil Action No. 13-cv-760-BO. That action was subsequently dismissed without prejudice as premature on procedural grounds. The '832 patent is involved in *BioDelivery Sciences* International, Inc. v. Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al., E.D.N.C. Civil Action No. 14-cv-529-H. The '832 patent has also been asserted in *Reckitt* Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, and MonoSol Rx, LLC. v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc., and IntelGenx Technologies, Corp., D. Del. Civil Action No. 13-1461-RGA; Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, and MonoSol Rx, LLC. v. Watson Laboratories, Inc., D. Del. Civil Action No. 13-1674-RGA; Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, and MonoSol Rx, LLC. v. Alvogen Pine Brook, Inc., D. Del. Civil Action No. 13-2003-RGA; and Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, and MonoSol Rx, LLC. v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc., and IntelGenx Technologies, Corp., D. Del. Civil Action No. 14-422-RGA. The



Case No. IPR2014-00998 Patent No. 8,475,832

13-1674 (Watson) and 14-422 (Par) Actions remain pending. (The 13-1461 (Par) and 13-2003 (Alvogen) Actions were dismissed without prejudice in light of Par and Alvogen having prematurely triggered the Hatch-Waxman ANDA litigation process in regard to those Actions.)

Mr. Ladow has been lead counsel for Patent Owner in all the above copending litigation, and remains lead counsel in the cases still pending, and, as such, has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. In the co-pending litigation, Mr. Ladow is responsible for the conduct of the cases. Mr. Ladow has reviewed and analyzed voluminous technical literature related to the claimed technology, including prior art references presented by Petitioner in its Petition and by the other defendants in the co-pending litigation. Patent Owner has expended significant resources in the co-pending litigation with Mr. Ladow as lead counsel, and Patent Owner wishes to continue using Mr. Ladow as counsel in this proceeding.

Therefore, Patent Owner respectfully submits that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Ladow as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

3. Affidavit or Declaration of Individual Seeking to Appear

This Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is accompanied by an Affidavit of Daniel A. Ladow (RB Ex. 2001) as required by the Representative Order.

Dated: October 1, 2014



Case No. IPR2014-00998 Patent No. 8,475,832

Respectfully submitted,

By: /James M. Bollinger/
James Moore Bollinger
Registration No. 32,555
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

