UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE GILLETTE COMPANY

Petitioner

v.

ZOND, LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,808,184

Inter Partes Review Case No. 2014-00996

PATENT OWNER's PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.107(a)

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND 6

A. Overview of Pulsed Plasma Systems 6

B. The '184 Patent: Dr. Chistyakov Invents a Pulse Control Technique for Rapidly Increasing a Plasma's Electron Density Without Arcing. 8

III. SUMMARY OF PETITIONER'S PROPOSED GROUNDS 11

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(3) 11

A. Construction of "Weakly Ionized Plasma" and "Strongly Ionized Plasma" 12

B. Petitioner's Implicit Construction of Other Claim Language is Unclear and Does Not Comply with 42 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) or Federal Circuit Law. 14

C. Patent Owner's Construction of "Voltage Pulse Having At Least One of a Controlled Amplitude and a Controlled Rise Time." 14

V. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING. 17

A. Defects Common to All Grounds. 17

1. Petition Fails to Follow the Proper Legal Framework For an Obviousness Analysis. 17

2. Petition Violates Page Restrictions by Incorporating Fifty-One Pages of Claim Charts. 18

B. Defects in Ground I: Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate That Any Claim Challenged in Ground I is Obvious Over Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). 20

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. Scope and Content of the Prior Art. 20

a. Kudryavtsev 20

b. Mozgrin's Experiments. 23

2. Differences Between the Parent Claims 1, 11 and the Art.26

3. Level of Skill in the Art. 31

4. Incompatibility of the References and Absence of Motivation to Combine. 32

5. Conclusion: Petitioner Has Not Shown a Reasonable Likelihood of Success on Any Claim Challenged in Ground I. 34

6. Ground I: Petitioner's Additional Arguments Against Claims 8 and 18 Do Not Justify Review 35

7. Ground I: Petitioner's Additional Arguments Against Dependent Claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 Do Not Justify Review..... 37

C. Defects In Ground II: Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate A Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 6 – 10 and Claims 16 – 20 Are Obvious Over Mozgrin and Mozgrin's Thesis under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)..... 39

1. The Petition Fails to Prove that Mozgrin's Thesis is Prior Art. 39

2. Mozgrin Thesis Does Not Teach the Claimed Invention 42

3. Petitioner's Additional Arguments Against Dependent Claims 6 – 10 and 16 – 20 Do Not Justify Review..... 44

D. Defects In Ground III: Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate A Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19, and

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

20 Are Obvious Over Wang and Kudryavtsev under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). 44

1. Ground III: Petition Fails to Show A Reasonable Likelihood of Success on and Claim Challenged in Ground III 45

2. Ground III: Petitioner's Additional Arguments Against Dependent Claims 9, 10, 19 and 20 Do Not Justify Review 48

E. Ground IV. Petitioner Failed To Demonstrate A Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 8 and 18 Are Obvious Over Wang, Kudryavtsev and Mozgrin under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) 50

VI. CONCLUSION 51

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
Ex. 2001	Information Disclosure Statement
Ex. 2002	Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4th Edition, 2008
Ex. 2003	U.S. Patent No. 6,896,773
Ex. 2004	U.S. Patent No. 6,806,652

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.