U.S. PATENT 7,811,421 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

DOCKET NO.: 0110198.00202US3
Filed on behalf of The Gillette Company

By: Michael A. Diener, Reg. No. 37,122 Larissa Bifano Park, Reg. No. 59,051

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street

Boston, MA 02109 Tel: (617) 526-6000

Email: <u>Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com</u> Larissa.park@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE GILLETTE COMPANY Petitioner

V.

ZOND, INC. Patent Owner

IPR Trial No. <u>TBD</u>

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,811,421 CHALLENGING CLAIMS 9, 14, 21, 26, 35 AND 37 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Mandatory Notices	1-
A	Real Party-in-Interest	1-
В.	. Related Matters	1-
C.	. Counsel	1-
D.	Service Information	2-
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	2-
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	2-
A	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	2 -
В.	. Grounds for Challenge	4-
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	4-
A	. Plasma	4-
В.	. Ions and Excited Atoms	6-
V.	Overview of the '421 Patent	7-
A	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '421 Patent	7-
В.	Prosecution History	7-
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	8-
A	Summary of the Prior Art	8-
В.	. Overview of Mozgrin	8-
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	- 10 -
D.	Overview of Wang	- 11 -
VII.	Claim Construction.	- 12 -
A	"weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	- 13 -
VIII	Specific Grounds for Petition	- 15 -
A. an	Ground I: Claims 9 and 35 are obvious over the combination of Mozg	
	1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Mozgrin	- 15 -
	2. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Mozgrin	- 24 -
	3. Dependent claims 9 and 35	- 26 -



B. Ground II: Claims 14 and 37 are obvious over the combin and the Mozgrin Thesis	_
C. Ground III: Claim 21 is obvious over the combination of Mantsman and Kudryavtsev	
1. Independent claim 17 is obvious in view of Mozgrin and	Lantsman 36 -
2. Dependent claim 21 is obvious in view of Mozgrin, Lant Kudryavtsev	
D. Ground IV: Claim 26 is obvious over the combination of I Lantsman and the Mozgrin Thesis	O ,
E. Ground V: Claims 9, 21 and 35 are obvious over the comband Kudryavtsev	•
1. Independent claim 1 is anticipated by Wang	43 -
2. Independent claim 17 is anticipated by Wang	49 -
3. Independent claim 34 is anticipated by Wang	51 -
4. Dependent claims 9, 21 and 35 are obvious in view of W Kudryavtsev	C
F. Ground VI: Claims 14, 26 and 37 are obvious over the con Wang and the Mozgrin Thesis	
IX. Conclusion	58 -



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012).

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b)



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

The Gillette Company ("Petitioner"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Procter & Gamble Co., is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,811,421 ("'421 Patent") (Ex. 1201) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (*Zond v. Intel*); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (*Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al*); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (*Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (*Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.*); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (*Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.*); 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (*Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.*); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (*Zond v. Gillette, Co.*). Petitioner is also filing additional Petitions for *Inter Partes* review in several patents related to the '421 Patent.

The below-listed claims of the '759 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for *inter partes* review styled. The Gillette Company v. Zond, Inc., which was filed March 7, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014—00470. Petitioner will seek joinder with that *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b).

C. Counsel

¹ The related patents, e.g., name the same alleged inventor.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

