IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

E-WATCH, INC. ET AL.,	§	
Plaintiffs,	\$ \$	
	§	2:13-cv-1061
v.	§	LEAD CASE
	§	
APPLE, INC., ET AL.	§	
	§	
HTC CORPORATION AND	§	2:13-CV-01063
HTC AMERICA, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFFS' DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND PRELIMINARY INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENT PRODUCTION (LOCAL P.R. 3-1 AND 3-2) TO DEFENDANTS HTC CORPORATION AND HTC AMERICA, INC.

Pursuant to CV-83, P.R. 3-1 and 3-2, Plaintiffs e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation (collectively, "e-Watch"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, state as follows:

DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS

(A) Each claim of each patent in suit that is allegedly infringed by each opposing party;

Based on information presently available to e-Watch and the status of its investigation, e-Watch alleges infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871 (the "871 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 7,643,168 (the "168 patent") by defendants HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (collectively, "HTC"). The specific asserted patent and associated claims relative to HTC are individually enumerated in the claim charts attached hereto. e-Watch reserves the right to amend and/or supplement the identification of asserted claims as discovery progresses, in response to the Court's claim construction and otherwise in accordance with the Local Patent Rules and the Court's Docket Control Order.

(B) Separately for each asserted claim, each accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality ("Accused Instrumentality") of each opposing party of which the party is aware. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Each product, device, or apparatus which, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process;



Based on information presently available to e-Watch and the status of its investigation, e-Watch provides the Attachments hereto, including claim charts identifying each Accused Instrumentality for each asserted claim. e-Watch reserves the right to amend and/or supplement the identification of each Accused System as discovery progresses, in response to the Court's claim construction and otherwise in accordance with the Local Patent Rules and the Court's Docket Control Order.

(C) A chart identifying specifically where each element of each asserted claim is found within each Accused Instrumentality, including for each element that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the Accused Instrumentality that performs the claimed function;

The attachments hereto reflect the present infringement contentions of e-Watch based on information reasonably available to it as of this date. e-Watch reserves the right to seek to amend, supplement or narrow these contentions as discovery progresses, in response to the Court's claim construction and otherwise in accordance with the Local Patent Rules and the Court's Docket Control Order.

(D) Whether each element of each asserted claim is claimed to be literally present or present under the doctrine of equivalents in the Accused Instrumentality;

Based on the information currently available to e-Watch, each element of each asserted claim appears to be literally present. To the extent any element is not found to be literally present, e-Watch asserts an equivalent element is present having insubstantial differences. Following discovery regarding the defendants' products, including confidential information, source code and technical specifications pertaining to the Accused System, e-Watch reserves the right to seek leave to amend or supplement its allegations under the doctrine of equivalents. e-Watch further reserves the right to amend and/or supplement its contentions relative to the doctrine of equivalents in response to the Court's claim construction and otherwise in accordance with the Local Patent Rules and the Court's Docket Control Order.

(E) For any patent that claims priority to an earlier application, the priority date to which each asserted claim is entitled; and

The asserted claims of the '871 patent and the '168 patent are entitled to a priority date of January 12, 1998 based on U.S. Application No. 09/006,073.

(F) If a party claiming patent infringement wishes to preserve the right to rely, for any purpose, on the assertion that its own apparatus, product, device, process, method, act or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention, the party must identify, separately for each asserted claim, each such apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality that incorporates or reflects that particular claim.

Not applicable.



Dated: April 4, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ *Christopher V. Goodpastor*

Christopher V. Goodpastor State Bar No. 00791991 LEAD ATTORNEY Mikal C. Watts

State Bar No. 20981820 WATTS GUERRA LLP

811 Barton Springs Road, Suite 725

Austin, Texas 78704

Telephone: (512) 479-0500 Facsimile: (512) 479-0502

Email: cgoodpastor@wattsguerra.com mcwatts@wattsguerra.com

Francisco Guerra, IV State Bar No. 00797784 WATTS GUERRA LLP 300 Convent Street, Suite 100 San Antonio, Texas 78205 Telephone: (210) 527-0500 Facsimile: (210) 527-0501

Email: fguerra@wattsguerra.com

Andrew G. DiNovo State Bar No. 00790594

Adam G. Price

State Bar No. 24027750

Chester J. Shiu

State Bar No. 24071126

Stefanie T. Scott

State Bar No. 24061617

Gregory S. Donahue

State Bar No. 24012539

DINOVO PRICE ELLWANGER & HARDY, LLP

7000 N. MoPac Expressway, Suite 350

Austin, Texas 78731

Telephone: (512) 539-2626 Facsimile: (512) 539-2627 Email: adinovo@dpelaw.com aprice@dpelaw.com

aprice@dpelaw.com cshiu@dpelaw.com sscott@dpelaw.com gdonahue@dpelaw.com



T. John Ward, Jr. State Bar No. 00794818 WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1231 Longview, Texas 75606-1231 Telephone: (903) 757-6400

Facsimile: (903) 757-2323 Email: jw@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS e-WATCH, INC. AND e-WATCH CORPORATION



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 4th day of April 2014, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document on all counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as indicated below.

/s/ Christopher V. Goodpastor
Christopher V. Goodpastor

Cheng C Ko
Evan Skinner Day
Matthew C. Bernstein
Kevin Patariu
PERKINS COIE - SAN DIEGO
11988 El Camino Real
Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92130
858/720-5712
Fax: 858/720-5799

Email: cko@perkinscoie.com
eday@perkinscoie.com
mbernstein@perkinscoie.com
kpatariu@perkinscoie.com
kpatariu@perkinscoie.com

Via Email

Attorneys for HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

