IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION; HTC AMERICA, INC.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., Petitioners

v.

E-WATCH, INC. and E-WATCH CORPORATION Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00987¹ Patent No. 7,365,871

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

¹ Case IPR2015-00541 has been joined with this proceeding.



Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. ("HTC"), and Petitioner Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Samsung") (collectively "Petitioners") and Patent Owner e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch Corporation ("e-Watch") jointly move to terminate the present *inter partes* review proceedings with respect to both Petitioners and Patent Owner, in light of the parties' resolution of their dispute relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871 ("the '871 patent").

Termination with respect to Petitioners and Patent Owner e-Watch is appropriate in the instant proceeding because the dispute between the parties has been resolved, and further, the parties have agreed to terminate this *inter partes* review.

As required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b), the parties are filing, concurrently herewith, a true copy of their written agreements as Exhibits 1013 and 1014. The parties further request, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), that the agreements be treated as confidential business information and kept separate from the files of the involved patent. The parties are filing, concurrently herewith, a request to treat the settlement agreements as confidential business information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

The applicable statute, provides that an *inter partes* review proceeding "shall be terminated with respect to *any* petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner



and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) (emphasis added). Moreover, strong public policy considerations favor settlement between parties to an *inter partes* review proceeding. Indeed, the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide provides:

N. Settlement. There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding. The Board will be available to facilitate settlement discussions, and where appropriate, may require a settlement discussion as part of the proceeding. The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.

The Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48768 (Aug. 14 2012). Pursuant to the Board's Order on August 28, 2015, the Board has not yet issued a decision on the merits. Order, Paper 29, at 2. Moreover, no public interest factors militate against termination of this proceeding with respect to both Petitioners and Patent Owner.

The status of all district court cases involving U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871 is presented below.

Judicial Matter	Court	Filed	Status	Cause No.
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/09/13	Pending	13-01061



			1 atent 1	NO. 7,303,871
Corporation v. Apple, Inc.				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01078
Corporation v. Blackberry				
Limited and Blackberry Corpo-				
ration				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending,	13-01063
Corporation v. HTC Corpora-			but termi-	
tion and HTC America, Inc.			nation im-	
			minent	
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending	13-01076
Corporation v. Huawei Tech-			_	
nologies Co., Ltd. and Huawei				
Technologies USA, Inc.				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/09/13	Terminated	13-01077
Corporation v. Kyocera Com-				
munications, Inc. and Kyocera				
International, Inc.				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending,	13-01064
Corporation v. LG Electronics,			but termi-	
Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A,			nation im-	
Inc., and LG Electronics Mo-			minent	
bilecomm U.S.A.				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01075
Corporation v. Nokia Corpora-				
tion and Nokia, Inc.				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending,	13-01062
Corporation v. Samsung Elec-			but termi-	
tronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung			nation im-	
Telecommunications America,			minent	
Inc.				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01074
Corporation v. Sharp Corpora-				
tion and Sharp Electronics				
Corporation				
e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Terminated	13-01073
Corporation v. Sony Corpora-				
tion, Sony Mobile Communi-				
cations AB, and Sony Mobile				
Communications (USA), Inc.				



e-Watch, Inc. and e-Watch	E.D. Tex.	12/13/13	Pending	13-01071
Corporation v. ZTE Corpora-				
tion, ZTE (USA), Inc., and				
ZTE Solutions, Inc.				

The status of all *inter partes* reviews ("IPR") involving U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871 is presented below.

IPR	Status	
IPR2015-00541 joined to	Pending, but	
IPR2014-00987	termination	
	requested	
IPR2014-00439	Terminated	
IPR2015-00406	Not Instituted	
IPR2015-00404	Pending, but	
	Board has	
	granted re-	
	quest to file	
	motion to	
	terminate	
IPR2015-00402	Terminated	
IPR2015-00610	Pending, but	
	parties will	
	request right	
	to file motion	
	to terminate	
	soon	
IPR2015-00411	Not Instituted	
IPR2015-00413	Not Instituted	
IPR2015-00612	Not Instituted	
IPR2015-01366	No Decision	
	on institution	
	yet	
IPR2015-00412	Pending	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

