

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC.

Petitioners

v.

E-WATCH, INC. and E-WATCH CORPORATION

Patent Owner

CASE: To Be Assigned

Patent No. 7,365,871 B2

**DECLARATION OF KENNETH PARULSKI IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,365,871 B2**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	3
III. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	4
A. Education and Work Experience	4
B. Compensation	8
C. Documents and Other Materials Relied Upon	8
IV. STATEMENT OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES	8
A. Claim Construction	8
B. Anticipation	9
C. Obviousness	9
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
VI. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER OF THE 871 PATENT	11
A. Evolution of Digital Cameras	11
B. Image Processing in Digital Cameras	14
C. Proliferation of Commercially Available Digital Cameras	16
D. Integrated versus Modular Implementations	22
E. Image Compression Technologies	24
F. Evolution of Mobile Telephony and Image Transmission	27
VII. OVERVIEW OF THE 871 PATENT	34
VIII. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PRIOR ART AND SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	35
IX. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	35
A. “Framing an image” in Claims 1, 2, 6 and 12	36
X. UNPATENTABILITY OF THE 871 PATENT CLAIMS	37
A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-8 AND 12-15 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) AS OBVIOUS OVER WILSKA IN VIEW OF YAMAGISHI-114	37

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
B. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1-8 AND 12-15 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) AS OBVIOUS OVER MCNELLEY IN VIEW OF YAMAGISHI-992	61
ATTACHMENTS A-D	

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Kenneth Parulski. I was the former Chief Scientist in the Digital Camera and Devices Division of Eastman Kodak Company and I am currently Chief Scientist and Managing Member of aKAP Innovation, LLC, which I founded in June 2012. aKAP Innovation, LLC provides innovation and digital photography related consulting services, and participates in the development of ISO (“International Organization for Standardization”) standards for digital photography.
2. I have been engaged by HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. (“HTC”) to investigate and opine on certain issues relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,365,871 B2 entitled “APPARATUS FOR CAPTURING, CONVERTING AND TRANSMITTING A VISUAL IMAGE SIGNAL VIA A DIGITAL TRANSMISSION SYSTEM” (“871 Patent”) in HTC’s Petition for Inter Partes Review of the 871 Patent (“HTC IPR Petition”) which requests the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) to review and cancel Claims 1-8 and 12-15 of the 871 Patent, which, based on my understanding, are all the claims that are currently being asserted in a patent litigation against HTC.
3. I understand that, according to USPTO assignment records of the 871 Patent, the 871 Patent is owned by E-Watch, Inc. It is also my understanding that E-

Watch Corporation claims to be the exclusive licenSee of the 871 Patent. E-Watch, Inc. and E-Watch Corporation are asserting the 871 Patent in litigation against HTC and others and are therefore referred to as the “Patent Owner” in this Declaration.

4. In this declaration, I will discuss the technology related to the 871 Patent, including an overview of that technology as it was known prior to, and up to the time of the filing of the application - January 12, 1998 – to which the 871 Patent claims priority. This overview of the relevant technology provides some of the bases for my opinions with respect to the 871 Patent.
5. This declaration is based on the information currently available to me. To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the right to continue my investigation and study, which may include a review of documents and information that may be produced, as well as testimony from depositions that may not yet be taken.
6. In forming my opinions, I have relied on information and evidence identified in this declaration, including the 871 Patent, the prosecution history of the 871 Patent, and prior art references listed as Exhibits to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the 871 Patent. I have also relied on my own experience and expertise in the relevant technologies and systems that were already in use

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.