# 

Petitioner

THE GILLETTE COMPANY

v.

ZOND, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00985 Patent 7,147,759

ZOND LLC'S PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRO	ODUCTION	1
II.	TECH	HNOLOGY BACKGROUND	9
	A.	Overview Of Magnetron Sputtering Systems.	9
	В.	The '759 patent: Dr. Chistyakov invents a new magnetically enhanced sputtering source that creates a multi-step ionization process generating highly-ionized plasma from weakly ionized plasma without forming an arc discharge.	10
	C.	The Petitioner Mischaracterized The File History, the Patent Owner's Arguments To The Examiner, and Mozgrin.	13
III.	SUM	IMARY OF PETITIONER'S PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR REVIEW	15
IV	. PAT	ENT OWNER'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS	17
	A.	The construction of "weakly ionized plasma" and "strongly ionized plasma."	18
	B.	The construction of "multi-step ionization process".	19
V.		RE IS NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PETITIONER PREVAILING FOR A CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE '738 PATENT	22
	A.	The Petition failed to set forth a proper obviousness analysis	23
	B.	The Petition failed to demonstrate any motivation to combine	25
	1.	Scope and content of prior art.	27
		a. Kudryavtsev – A. A. Kudryavtsev and V.N. Skerbov, Ionization relaxation in a plasma produced by a pulsed inert-gas discharge, Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 28(1), pp. 30-35, January 1983 (Ex. 1004),	28
		b. Mozgrin – D.V. Mozgrin, et al, High-Current Low-Pressure Quasi-Stationary Discharge in a Magnetic Field: Experimental Research, Plasma Physics Reports, Vol. 21, No. 5, pp. 400-409, 1995 (Ex. 1203)	30
		c. Wang – U.S. Patent No. 6,413,382 (Exhibit 1005)	33
	2.	The Petitioner Fails To Show That It Would Have Been Obvious To Combine The Cylindrical Tube System Without A Magnet Of Kudryavtsev With Either The Mozgrin or Wang Magnetron System	35
	C.	The Petition fails to demonstrate how the alleged combinations teach every element of the challenged claims.	40



## IPR2014-00985 U.S. Patent No. 7,147,759

1.	The cited references do not teach "applying a voltage pulse to the weakly-ionized plasma, an amplitude and a rise time of the voltage pulse being chosen to increase an excitation rate of ground state atoms that are present in the weakly-ionized plasma to create a multi-step ionization process that generates a strongly-ionized plasma," as recited in independent claim 20	41
2.	The cited references do not teach a "multi-step ionization process comprising exciting the ground state atoms to generate excited atoms, and then ionizing the excited atoms within the weakly-ionized plasma without forming an arc discharge," as recited in claim 20	48
D.	The Petition Fails to Identify Any Compelling Rationale for Adopting Redundant Grounds of Rejection With Mozgrin Or Wang As The Primary Reference.	52
E.	The Petitioner Failed To Establish That The Mozgrin Thesis Is Prior Art	57
VL CON	ICLUSION	59



#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Petitioner has represented in a motion to joinder that this petition "is identical to the Intel IRP no. IRP2014-00445 in all substantive respects, includes identical exhibits, and relies upon the same expert declarant." Accordingly, based upon that representation, the Patent Owner opposes review on the same basis presented in opposition to Intel's request no. IRP2014-00445, which is reproduced below:

The Board should deny the present request for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,147,759 ("the '759 patent") because there is not a reasonable likelihood that the Petitioner will prevail at trial with respect to at least one claim of the '759 patent.<sup>1</sup>

The references that are primarily relied upon by the Petitioner (*i.e.*, Mozgrin and Wang) were already considered by the Examiner and overcome during the prosecution of the application corresponding to the '759 patent.

Indeed, these references were considered by 6 different examiners and



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

overcome during the prosecution of 9 other patents that are related to the '759 patent over nearly a 10 year period.<sup>2</sup>

Upon realizing that there was no prior art that was closer to the claimed invention than the art that had already been considered and overcome at the patent office, the Petitioner resorted to a desperate strategy of filing an enormous number of IPR petitions (*i.e.*, 5 IPRs against the '759 patent and an additional 17 against related patents) and alleging that the Patent Owner had mischaracterized Mozgrin to the patent office.<sup>3</sup>

But this strategy cannot succeed because the Patent Owner did not make any mischaracterizations and could not have possibly tricked 6 different examiners to allow 10 patents over the course of nearly a decade by mischaracterizing a reference that all 6 Examiners read themselves. Rather, the Petitioner mischaracterized the prior art references in its Petition and failed



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Examiners Douglas Owens, Tung X. Le, Rodney McDonald, Wilson Lee, Don Wong, and Tuyet T. Vo allowed U.S. Patents 7,808,184, 7,811,421, 8,125,155, 6,853,142, 7,604,716, 6,896,775, 6,896,773, 6,805,779, and 6,806,652 over Mozgrin and Wang over nearly a decade from the time that the application for the '759 patent was filed on 9/30/2002 to the time that the '155 patent issued on 2/28/2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Petition, p. 7.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

