U.S. PATENT 7,147,759 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

DOCKET NO.: 0110198-00196US2
Filed on behalf of The Gillette Company
By: Michael A. Diener, Reg. No. 37,122
Larissa Bifano Park, Reg. No. 59,051
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000

Email: <u>Michael.Diener@wilmerhale.com</u> <u>Larissa.park@wilmerhale.com</u>

UN	NITED STA	TIES PATE	NI AND	IKADEMAI	KK OFFICE
BE	FORE TH	E PATENT	TRIAL A	AND APPE	AL BOARD

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,147,759
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 2, 3, 5-9, 13-16, 19, 41-43 AND 45
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. N	Mandatory Notices	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1
B.	Related Matters	1
C.	Counsel	1
D.	Service Information	1
II. (Certification of Grounds for Standing	2
III.	Overview of Challenged and Relief Requested	2
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	2
B.	Grounds for Challenge	3
IV.	Brief Description of Technology	4
A.	Plasma	4
B.	Ions and Excited Atoms	5
V. (Overview of the '759 Patent	6
A.	Summary of Alleged Invention of the '759 Patent	6
B.	Prosecution History	7
1	The Patent Owner mischaracterized the prior art Mozgrin reference	7
2	2. Adding the "without forming an arc" limitation resulted in allowance.	7
VI.	Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	8
A.	Summary of the Prior Art	8
B.	Overview of Mozgrin	9
1	Summary	9
2	2. Mozgrin teaches avoiding arcs	11
C.	Overview of Kudryavtsev	12
D.	Overview of Wang	13
VII.	Claim Construction	14
A.	"weakly-ionized plasma" and "strongly-ionized plasma"	15
B.	"multi-step ionization process"	
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	17



A. Ground I: Claims 2, 3, 5-9, 13-16, 19, 41-43 and 45 are obvious i the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	
1. Independent claim 1	18
2. Dependent claims 2, 3, 5-9, 13-16, 19, 41-43 and 45 are obvious of the combination of Mozgrin and Kudryavtsev	
B. Ground II: Claims 2, 3, 5-9, 13-15, 19 and 41-43 are obvious in verthe combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	
1. Independent claim 1	42
2. Dependent claims 2, 3, 5-9, 13-15, 19 and 41-43 are obvious in the combination of Wang and Kudryavtsev	
C. Ground III: Claims 16 and 45 are obvious in view of the combina Wang, Kudryavtsev and Mozgrin	
X Conclusion	60



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)(1)

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1)-(5)

77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012)

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b)



I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

The Gillette Company ("Petitioner"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Procter & Gamble Co., is the real party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

Zond has asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,147,759 ("'759 Patent") (Ex. 1101) against numerous parties in the District of Massachusetts, 1:13-cv-11570-RGS (Zond v. Intel); 1:13-cv-11577-DPW (Zond v. AMD, Inc., et al); 1:13-cv-11581-DJC (Zond v. Toshiba Am. Elec. Comp. Inc.); 1:13-cv-11591-RGS (Zond v. SK Hynix, Inc.); 1:13-cv-11625-NMG (Zond v. Renesas Elec. Corp.) ; 1:13-cv-11634-WGY (Zond v. Fujitsu, et al.); and 1:13-cv-11567-DJC (Zond v. Gillette, Co.) (Ex. 1120).

The below-listed claims of the '759 Patent are presently the subject of a substantially identical petition for *inter partes* review styled. The Gillette Company v. Zond, Inc., which was filed February 20, 2014 and assigned Case No. IPR2014 – 00444. Petitioner will seek joinder with that *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b).

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Michael A. Diener (Registration No. 37,122)

Backup Counsel: Larissa B. Park (Registration No. 59,051)

D. Service Information



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

