UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC. and YOUTUBE, LLC, Petitioners,

v.

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, Patent Owners.

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,415,280

Case IPR: To be Assigned

<u>PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF</u> <u>U.S. PATENT NO. 6,415,280</u> <u>UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1–.80 & 42.100–.123</u>

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKF

Δ

A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction1		
II.	Mandatory Notices by Petitioners (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))		
	A.	Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	
	B.	Petitioners' Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	
	C.	Identification of Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	
III.	Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))7		
IV.	Statement of Precise Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a))7		
V.	Overview of Challenges7		
	A.	Identification of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))7	
	B.	Summary of Central Argument that Challenged Claims are Unpatentable	
	C.	Threshold Showing of Reasonable Likelihood That Petitioners Would Prevail With Respect To At Least One Challenged Claim (35 U.S.C. § 314(a)) Has Been Met; Institution of <i>Inter Partes</i> Review on Multiple Grounds is Proper (37 C.F.R. § 42.108)	
VI.	VI. The Challenged '280 Patent		
	A.	Overview of the Patent	
	B.	Prosecution History16	
	C.	Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3))17	
		1. Terms Already Construed by the PTAB	

		2. Claim Construction Standard	.19
VII.	Unpatentability under Specific Grounds (37 C.F.R. 42.104(b)(4)) and Evidence Relied Upon in Support of Challenge (37 C.F.R. 42.104(b)(5))		
	A.	Challenge #1: Claims 10, 15, 16, 18, 25, 36 and 38 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) based on Woodhill	.19
	B.	Challenge #2: Claims 10, 15, 16, 18, 25, 31, 32, 33, 36, 38 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Woodhill in view of Langer	.39
VIII.	Conc	lusion	.50

I. Introduction

Pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, Google Inc. and YouTube, LLC ("Petitioners") hereby petition the Patent Trial and Appeal Board to institute *inter partes* review of claims 10, 15, 16, 18, 25, 31, 32, 33, 36 and 38 of US Patent No. 6,415,280 to Farber *et al.* ("the '280 Patent," GOOG-1001) based on identical grounds as those asserted by petitioners Rackspace US, Inc. and Rackspace Hosting, Inc. (collectively, "Rackspace") against the same claims of the '280 patent in IPR2014-00059, which was instituted on April 15, 2014. PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC and Level 3 Communications, LLC have stated, in filings in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of the Texas, that they each own an undivided fifty percent (50%) interest in the '280 Patent.

For the exact same reasons previously considered by the Board, and on the exact same schedule, Petitioners respectfully seek to join the Rackspace IPR against the '280 patent. This Petition is filed concurrently with a Motion for Joinder with that proceeding, IPR2014-00059, in which Petitioners also respectfully request that, given the unique circumstances here, the Board exercise its discretion under 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(b) and waive the requirement in § 42.122(b) that requests to join a proceeding be made no later than one month after institution. In this petition, Petitioners assert identical grounds as those set forth in the Rackspace petition in IPR 2014-00059, and only advance the specific grounds on which the Board agreed to institute the IPR. Thus, this petition does not add or alter any arguments that have already been considered by the Board, does not seek to expand the grounds of invalidity that the Board has already found to support institution of IPR proceedings, and does not advocate a claim construction different from those adopted by the Board. In this petition, Petitioners also seek to follow the same schedule that the Board has instituted for IPR2014-00059.

For the Board's convenience, and because the substance of this petition is based upon the Rackspace petition, Petitioners note that, except as noted in the table below, this petition is copied verbatim from the Rackspace petition for IPR2014-00059 (albeit, necessarily updating the exhibit-reference prefix to "GOOG" from Rackspace's prefix "RACK," although the exhibits themselves are identical):

This petition differs from the Rackspace petition in the following ways:

Changed Subparts from IPR2014- 00059	Changes
I. Introduction	New section; subsequent sections renumbered accordingly.
II.A. Real Parties-in-Interest	Updated to reflect Real Parties in Interest Google Inc. and YouTube, LLC

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.